

DECLARATION

MOL Group Corporate Governance Report in accordance with

Budapest Stock Exchange Corporate Governance Recommendations

MOL has always been committed to implementing the highest standards of corporate governance structures and practices. This is not only with regard to national expectations but also with reference to the continually evolving and improving standards of good governance on an international level. As a result MOL is geared towards shareholders' interests, whilst taking into account the interests of a broader group of stakeholders inevitably necessary to enhance the generation of exceptional value for MOL's shareholders and people.

Among other things, the voluntary approval of the declaration on the Budapest Stock Exchange Corporate Governance Recommendations by the Annual General Meeting in 2006, before the official deadline, served as testament to the Company's commitment to corporate governance. In addition, MOL made a declaration concerning the application of the corporate governance recommendations of the Warsaw Stock Exchange prior to the admission of its shares to the Warsaw Stock Exchange in December 2004. The Company submits its declaration on this topic to both stock exchanges each year.

MOL's corporate governance practice meets the requirements of the regulations of the Budapest Stock Exchange, the recommendations of the Hungarian Financial Supervisory Authority and the relevant regulations of the Capital Market Act. MOL also subjects its policies to regular review to ensure that they take account of continually evolving international best practice in this area. MOL's Corporate Governance Code containing the main corporate governance principles of the Company was adopted in 2015 for the first time and its last update was fulfilled in 2012. This Code summarises its approach to shareholders' rights, main governing bodies, remuneration and ethical issues. The Corporate Governance Code has been published on the homepage of the Company.

Board of Directors

MOL's Board of Directors acts as the highest managing body of the Company and as such has collective responsibility for all corporate operations.

The Board's key activities are focused on achieving increasing shareholder value with considerations onto other stakeholders' interest; improving efficiency and profitability and ensuring transparency in corporate activities and sustainable operation. It also aims to ensure appropriate risk management, environmental protection and conditions for safety at work.

Given that MOL and its subsidiaries effectively operate as a single unit, the Board is also responsible for enforcing its aims and policies and for promoting the MOL culture throughout the entire Group.

The principles, policies and goals take account of the Board's specific and unique relationship with MOL's shareholders, the executive management and the Company. The composition of the Board reflects this with the majority (six of ten members) made up of non-executive directors. At present, 6 members of the Board of Directors qualify as independent on the basis of its own set of criteria (based on NYSE and EU recommendations) and the declaration of directors.

The members of the Board of Directors and their independence status in 2014 (professional CVs of the members are available on corporate homepage):

Name	Status	Mandate
Zsolt Hernádi, Chairman-CEO	non-independent	Elected by the Annual General Meeting to be member of the Board of Directors from 24 February, 1999
Dr. Sándor Csányi, Deputy Chairman	independent	Elected by the Annual General Meeting to be member of the Board of Directors from 20 October, 2000
Mulham Al-Jarf	independent	Elected by the Annual General Meeting to be member of the Board of Directors from 23 April, 2008 and resigned from his position effective from 29 April, 2014
Dr. Miklós Dobák	independent	Elected by the Annual General Meeting to be member of the Board of Directors from 28 April, 2000 and mandate expired on 29 April, 2014
Dr. Gábor Horváth	independent	Elected by the Annual General Meeting to be member of the Board of Directors from 24 February, 1999 and mandate expired on 24 February, 2014
Zsigmond Járαι	independent	Elected by the Annual General Meeting to be member of the Board of Directors from 29 April, 2010
József Molnár	non-independent	Elected by the Annual General Meeting to be member of the Board of Directors from 12 October, 2007
Dr. László Parragh	independent	Elected by the Annual General Meeting to be member of the Board of Directors from 29 April, 2010
Iain Paterson	independent	Elected by the Annual General Meeting to be member of the Board of Directors from 24 February, 1999 and mandate as member of the Board of Directors expired on 24 February, 2014
Dr. Martin Roman	independent	Elected by the Annual General Meeting to be member of the Board of Directors from 29 April, 2010
Dr. Oszkár Világi	non-independent	Elected by the Annual General Meeting to be member of the Board of Directors from 1 May, 2011
Dr. Anthony Radev	non-independent	Elected by the Annual General Meeting to be member of the Board of Directors from 30 April, 2014
Dr. Anwar al-Kharusi	independent	Elected by the Annual General Meeting to be member of the Board of Directors from 30 April, 2014
Dr. Martonyi János	independent	Elected by the Annual General Meeting to be member of the Board of Directors from 1 July, 2014

Operation of the Board of Directors

The Board acts and makes resolutions as a collective body.

The Board adopted a set of rules (Charter) to govern its own activities when the company was founded in 1991; these rules were updated in March, 2015 to ensure continued adherence to best practice standards.

The Board Charter covers:

- scope of the authority and responsibilities of the Board,
- scope of the committees operated by the Board,
- the scope of the information required by the Board and the frequency of reports,
- main responsibilities of the Chairman and the Deputy Chairman,
- order and preparation of Board meetings and the permanent items of the agenda, and
- decision-making mechanism and the manner in which the implementation of resolutions is monitored.

Members of the Board have signed a declaration on conflict of interest and they have reported their position as director in the Board to their employer or principal as regards other key management positions.

The Board of Directors prepares a formal evaluation of its own and its Committees performance and it reviews continuously its activity on a yearly basis.

Report of the Board of Directors on its 2014 activities

In 2014, the Board of Directors held 6 meetings with an average attendance rate of 88%.

Attendance to the Board of Directors meetings during 2014 is set out in the table below:

	Number of Meetings	Attendance Ratio	Comments
TOTAL	6	88%	
Zsolt HERNÁDI	5	83%	
Dr. Sándor CSÁNYI	4	67%	
József MOLNÁR	6	100%	
Dr. Miklós DOBÁK	2	100%	Mandate as member of the Board of Directors expired on 29 April, 2014
Dr. Gábor HORVÁTH	1	100%	Mandate as member of the Board of Directors expired on 24 February, 2014
Zsigmond JÁRAI	6	100%	
Dr. László PARRAGH	5	83%	
Iain PATERSON	1	100%	Mandate as member of the Board of Directors expired on 24 February, 2014
Mulham AL-JARF	2	100%	Resigned from his position as member of the Board of Directors effective from 29 April, 2014
Martin ROMAN	4	67%	
Dr. Oszkár VILÁGI	5	83%	
Dr. Anthony RADEV	3	100%	Elected by the Annual General Meeting to be member of the Board of Directors from 30 April, 2014
Dr. Anwar AL-KHARUSI	3	100%	Elected by the Annual General Meeting to be member of the Board of Directors from 30 April, 2014
Dr. János MARTONYI	3	100%	Elected by the Annual General Meeting to be member of the Board of Directors from 1 July, 2014

Alongside regular agenda items, such as reports by the Committees' chairmen on the activities pursued since the last Board meeting, the Board of Directors received updates on key strategic issues as well as an overview of capital market developments, whilst individually evaluating the performance of each of the company's business units.

The Board of Directors respectively paid attention to the follow-up of the industry macro trends, the treatment of the challenges driven by the external environment, the financial, operation and efficiency improvement challenges regarding INA consolidation and the strategy update process.

Committees of the Board of Directors

The Board operates committees to increase the efficiency of the Board's operations, and to provide the appropriate professional background for decision making. The Committees are bodies for preparation, advising, opinion-forming and proposal-preparing support concerning issues specified in the Decision-making and Authorities List, which sets out the division of authority and responsibility between the Board and the executive management.

- The responsibilities of the Committees are determined by the Board of Directors.
- The Chairman of the Board of Directors may also request the Committees to perform certain tasks.

The members and chairs of the Committees are elected by the Board of Directors. The majority of the committee members is non-executive and independent.

The Board allocates responsibilities to the various Committees as follows:

Corporate Governance and Remuneration Committee:

Members and dates of appointment to the Committee (professional backgrounds of members are available on company homepage):

- Dr. Sándor Csányi – Chairman, 17 November 2000
- Zsolt Hernádi, 8 September 2000
- Dr. Gábor Horváth, 8 September 2000¹
- Dr. Martin Roman, 4 June 2010
- Mulham Al-Jarf, 23 April 2008²
- Dr. Anthony Radev, 30 May, 2014³
- Dr. János Martonyi, 1 July, 2014⁴

¹ Mandate of Dr. Gábor Horváth as member of the Board of Directors expired on 24 February, 2014

² Mulham Al-Jarf resigned from his position as member of the Board of Directors effective from 29 April, 2014

³ Dr. Anthony Radev was elected to be a member of the Committee by the Board of Directors on 30 May, 2014

⁴ Dr. János Martonyi was elected to be a member of the Committee by the Board of Directors on 1 July, 2014

Responsibilities:

- analysis and evaluation of the activities of the Board of Directors,
- issues related to Board/ Supervisory Board membership,
- promoting the relationship between shareholders and the Board,
- procedural and regulatory issues,
- reviewing corporate processes, procedures, organisational solutions and compensation and incentive systems and making recommendations on the introduction of best practice standards.

Report of the Corporate Governance and Remuneration Committee on its 2014 activities

In 2014 the Corporate Governance and Remuneration Committee held 6 meetings with a 90% average attendance rate. Attendance to the Committee meetings during 2014 is set out in the table below:

	Number of Meetings	Attendance Ratio	Comments
TOTAL	6	90%	
Dr. Sándor CSÁNYI	5	83%	
Zsolt HERNÁDI	5	83%	
Mulham AL-JARF	2	100%	Resigned from his position as member of the Board of Directors effective from 29 April, 2014
Dr. Martin ROMAN	5	83%	
Dr. Anthony RADEV	3	100%	Elected to be member of the Committee by the Board of Directors on 30 May, 2014
Dr. János MARTONYI	3	100%	Elected to be member of the Committee by the Board of Directors on 1 July, 2014

In addition to the issues of corporate governance, remuneration and the composition of the management, the Committee discussed a number of key strategic and results-related topics prior to their presentation to the Board of Directors for discussion.

Finance and Risk Management Committee:

Members and dates of appointment to the Committee (professional backgrounds of members are available on company homepage):

- Dr. Miklós Dobák – Chairman, 25 October 2002¹
- Zsigmond Járai – Chairman, 4 June 2010
- Iain Paterson, 8 September 2000²
- Dr. László Parragh, 20 February, 2014
- Dr. Anthony Radev, 30 May, 2014³
- Dr. Anwar al-Kharusi, 30 May, 2014⁴

¹ Mandate of Dr. Miklós Dobák as member of the Board of Directors expired on 29 April, 2014

² Mandate of Iain Paterson as member of the Board of Directors expired on 24 February, 2014

³ Dr. Anthony Radev was elected to be a member of the Committee by the Board of Directors on 30 May, 2014

⁴ Dr. Anwar al-Kharusi was elected to be a member of the Committee by the Board of Directors on 30 May, 2014

The Chairman of the Supervisory Board and the Chairman of the Audit Committee are permanent invitees to the Finance and Risk Management Committee meetings.

Responsibilities:

- review of financial and related reports,
- monitoring the efficiency of the internal audit system,
- review of planning, scope and results of the audit,
- oversight of the risk management,
- monitoring the liquidity position of the Company, the financial and operational risks as well as the methodology and strategy of management thereof, review the operation of Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) system,
- ensuring the independence and objectivity of the external auditor.

Report of the Finance and Risk Management Committee on its 2014 activities

In 2014, the Finance and Risk Management Committee held 5 meetings with an 83% average attendance rate. Attendance to the Committee meetings during 2014 is set out in the table below:

	Number of Meetings	Attendance Ratio	Comments
TOTAL	5	83%	
Dr. Miklós DOBÁK	2	100%	Chairman of the Committee until 29 April, 2014
Zsigmond JÁRAI	4	80%	Elected to be Chairman of the Committee by the Board of Directors on 30 May, 2014
Dr. László PARRAGH	3	75%	Elected to be member of the Committee by the Board of Directors on 20 February, 2014
Iain PATERSON	1	100%	Mandate as member of the Board of Directors expired on 24 February, 2014
Dr. Anthony RADEV	1	50%	Elected to be member of the Committee by the Board of Directors on 30 May, 2014
Dr. Anwar AL-KHARUSI	2	100%	Elected to be member of the Committee by the Board of Directors on 30 May, 2014

In addition to the regular items on the agenda, including the audit of all public financial reports, providing assistance with the auditor's work and the regular monitoring of internal audit, the Committee reviewed the major risk factors of the Company, considering the changed international financial position and the status reports on risk management actions attached to these factors.

Sustainable Development Committee:

Members and dates of appointment (professional backgrounds of members are available on company homepage):

- Iain Paterson – Chairman, 29 June 2006¹
- Dr. László Parragh – Chairman, 4 June, 2010²
- József Molnár, 5 September, 2013 (interim Chairman between 20 February and 30 May, 2014)
- Dr. Anwar al-Kharusi, 30 May, 2014³
- Dr. János Martonyi, 1 July, 2014⁴
- Dr. Oszkár Világi, 30 May, 2014⁵

¹ Mandate of Iain Paterson as member of the Board of Directors expired on 24 February 2014

² Dr. László Parragh was elected to be the Chairman of the Committee by the Board of Directors on 30 May, 2014

³ Dr. Anwar al-Kharusi was elected to be a member of the Committee by the Board of Directors on 30 May, 2014

⁴ Dr. János Martonyi was elected to be a member of the Committee by the Board of Directors on 1 July, 2014

⁵ Dr. Oszkár Világi was elected to be a member of the Committee by the Board of Directors on 30 May, 2014

The Chairman and the Deputy Chairman of the Supervisory Board are permanent invitees to the Sustainable Development Committee meetings.

Responsibilities:

- review, evaluate and comment for the Board of Directors on all proposals related to sustainable development (SD) .
- monitor the development and implementation of all SD related policies (e.g. HSE, Code of Ethics, etc.) and discuss ethical issues
- supervise the progress on the strategic focus areas of SD in MOL Group
- request and discuss reports from business divisions and subsidiaries about their SD performance
- review sustainability related data and information of the external reports

Report of the Sustainable Development Committee on its 2014 activities

In 2014, the Sustainable Development Committee held 4 meetings with a 90% attendance rate. Attendance to the Committee meetings during 2014 is set out in the table below:

	Number of Meetings	Attendance Ratio	Comments
TOTAL	4	90%	
Iain PATERSON	1	100%	Chairman of the Committee until 24 February, 2014
József MOLNÁR	4	100%	Interim Chairman between 20 February and 30 May, 2014
Dr. László PARRAGH	4	100%	Elected to be Chairman of the Committee by the Board of Directors on 30 May, 2014
Dr. Oszkár VILÁGI	0	0%	Elected to be member of the Committee by the Board of Directors on 30 May, 2014
Dr. Anwar AL-KHARUSI	2	100%	Elected to be member of the Committee by the Board of Directors on 30 May, 2014
Dr. János MARTONYI	2	100%	Elected to be member of the Committee by the Board of Directors on 1 July, 2014

The Committee evaluated the accomplishment of the actions in 2014, formed opinion on Sustainable Development Report and decided on 2015 directions and targets. The Committee considered with highlighted attention the achieved results of the Dow Jones Sustainability Evaluation together with the necessary development actions as well as sustainable development reports of business units.

Relationship between the Board and the Executive Management

The governance of the Company is carried out in line with standardised corporate governance principles and practice, and, within its framework, the Board of Directors will meet its liabilities for the integrated corporate governance by defining the responsibilities and accountabilities of the Executive Board, established by the Board and securing the corporate operative activities, operating and organisational procedures, as well as standardised system for target-setting, reporting and audit (performance control system and business control system).

A consistent document prescribes the distribution of decision-making authorities between the Board of Directors and the company's organisations, defining the key control points required for efficiently developing and operating MOL Group processes.

Control and management of MOL Group will be implemented through business and functional organisations. The Executive Board (hereinafter "EB") will be responsible for harmonising their activities.

The EB is a forum for decision preparation that has the role to provide a direct link between the Board of Directors and the Company's staff and at the same time canalize the matters submitted to the full Board. The EB renders preliminary opinions on certain proposals submitted to the Board, the EB is also responsible for the oversight of the execution of the Board's resolutions.

On the EB meetings each member has an obligation to express their opinion, on the basis of which final decision is made by the Chairman-CEO. In case of a difference of opinion between the Chairman-CEO, GCEO or GCFO, the decision shall be made by the Board of Directors.

The Executive Board (EB) members in 2014:

Zsolt Hernádi	Chairman-CEO (C-CEO)
József Molnár	Group Chief Executive Officer (GCEO)
Zoltán Áldott	Executive Vice President, President of the Management Board, INA d.d.
Sándor Fasimon	Executive Vice President, MOL Hungary (COO)
Ferenc Horváth	Executive Vice President, Downstream
József Simola	Group Chief Financial Officer (GCFO)
Dr. Oszkár Világi	Executive Vice President, C-CEO, Slovnaft a.s.
Alexander Dodds	Executive Vice President, Exploration and Production

In 2014, the Executive Board held 29 meetings and discussed 10 issues on a meeting on average.

Annual remuneration for the members of the Board of Directors

As of January 1, 2009, the members of the Board of Directors have been entitled to the following fixed net remuneration after each AGM:

Members of the Board of Directors	25,000 EUR/year
Chairmen of the Committees	31,250 EUR/year

Directors who are not Hungarian citizens and do not have a permanent address in Hungary are provided with gross **1,500 EUR** for each Board or Committee meeting (maximum 15 times) when they travel to Hungary.

Incentive scheme for the members of the Board of Directors

To ensure uniformity and transparency, in addition to fixed remuneration, MOL operates an incentive scheme for the members of MOL Board of Directors, which supports further commitment of the participants and takes the Company's profitability and long term growth into consideration. This can ensure that the interests of the participants in the compensation program coincide with interests of the shareholders.

Main principles of the incentive scheme for the Board of Directors were approved by the Annual General Meeting (AGM) on April 26, 2012 and it has been effective since 2012.

Incentive based on share allowance

From January 1, 2012 the Profit Sharing Incentive Plan based on the value added method has been replaced by the incentive based on share allowance as the long-term incentive for the members of the Board of Directors. Shares are granted first from 2013.

The aim of the new share based incentive is to ensure the interest of the long-term stock price growth and maintain motivation in addition to the dividend payment for which 1 year retention obligation (restraint on alienation) has been also determined for 2/3 of the shares (the retention obligation terminates at the date of the expiration of the mandate).

The incentive consists of two parts: share allowance and cash allowance related thereto.

- **Share allowance**

Number of shares:

for the Members of the Board of Directors: 100 pieces of „A” series of MOL ordinary shares per month

for the Chairman of the Board of Directors: additional 25 pieces of „A” series of MOL ordinary shares per month

If the Chairman is not a non-executive director, the deputy chairman (who is non-executive) is entitled to this extra remuneration (25 pieces / month).

The share allowance is provided once a year, within 30 days after the Annual General Meeting closing the given business year.

- **Cash allowance**

The incentive based on share allowance is a net incentive, that is the Company ensures to pay the taxes, contributions and other payables incurred upon acquisition of the shares in line with the relevant and effective laws. Such cash-based coverage of taxes and contributions does not include any further tax(es) or cost(s) incurred in relation with exercising rights attached to the shares or disposal of the shares (e.g. dividend tax, income tax); these shall be borne by the respective members of the Board of Directors. In line with these, there is a cash allowance part of the incentive system.

Rate of the cash allowance is the gross value of taxes, contributions and other payables incurred upon acquisition of the shares in line with the relevant and effective laws, including also the tax difference and contributions incurring in the country of tax-residence in case of non-Hungarian members of the Board of Directors.

- **Other benefits**

Other non-financial benefits include a life and accident, travel- and liability insurance. Besides that an annual health screening and an exclusive healthcare package is also available for the members of the Board of Directors.

Incentive system for the Top Management, MOL Group Executive Board

The strategy behind MOL's remuneration is to provide incentives for executives and top management to deliver on the company's strategy, and reward them for the achievement of strategic goals through a combination of short-term and long-term incentives. The Corporate Governance and Remuneration Committee (CGRC) recognizes that remuneration plays an important role in supporting the achievement of the goals. Through the design of its incentive schemes, MOL wishes to ensure that executive remuneration is aligned with and supports the company's strategic objectives within a framework that closely aligns the interests of MOL executives to those of our shareholders.

1. The MOL Group Executive Board (EB) Remuneration Matrix consists of three key pillars:

- ▶ **Annual Base Salary (BS):** fixed annual amount paid to the individuals
- ▶ **Short Term Incentive (STI):** annual bonus based on individual and company performance
- ▶ **Long Term Incentive (LTI):** promotes performance driven culture and enhances the focus on the top management team to be aligned with the interests of shareholders

The Remuneration Mix of the Top Management:

	Fixed Annual Base Salary	Short Term Incentive	Lont Term Incentive
Chairman CEO	26%	26%	48%
Group CEO	28%	28%	44%
EB members	34%	34%	37%

The incentive system for the top management in 2014 included the following elements:

2. Short Term Incentive (bonus)

The basis of the short term incentive is a target of 85-100% of the annual base salary. The amount thereof is defined in line with the performance evaluation of the given manager.

Based on MOL Group's decision making authorities the C-CEO and G-CEO annual performance is evaluated by the Corporate Governance and Remuneration Committee (CGRC) with final approval of the MOL Board of Directors (BoD).

For the 2014 plan year the MOL Group Executive Board’s STI framework was unified with the following performance measures, representing in all EB members goal:

KPI	Definition
Financial	Mandatory elements are 2014 MOL Group CCS EBITDA and Divisional targets (e.g. EBIT, EBITDA, ROACE, CAPEX efficiency, OPEX etc.) related to individual EB members and relevant to each director’s business area. Divisional targets exclude the C-CEO and G-CEO as these are not applicable.
Non-financial	SD and HSE targets: SD and Health and Safety targets defined to each EB members in-line with their business strategies
	Human Resorces targets: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - support the execution of Annual People Cycle to enchance MOL Group’s performance culture - enchance employee engagement level of the company by implementing action plans based on the Engagement Survey
	Individual targets: divisional business targets translated to KPI and Non-KPI individual targets which are cascaded to lower levels

Choice of Performance Measures for the STI

The aim of the MOL STI scheme is to focus the participants on achieving stretching financial, business and individual performance goals reflecting the delivery of key annual business priorities within the framework of the MOL Group’s long term strategy. The choice of the aforementioned performance measure reflects a desire from the CGRC to assess the participants based on a broad range of corporate and divisional measures that mirrors the corporate strategy and its related KPIs. The achievement of these annual performance measures should translate into the creation of sustainable shareholder value in the long term, which is captured by the Long Term Incentive System.

The outcome of the STI is not driven by a purely formulaic approach, as no specific weight has been assigned to each performance measure in order not to create an overemphasis on one at the expense of others. The CGRC will rigorously assess performance at the end of the period, and judge whether the results against the performance measures are a reflection of the underlying performance of the MOL Group.

3. Long Term Incentive system

The overarching purpose of the current long-term incentive systems is drive and reward the delivery of sustainable value creation and to provide greater alignment with MOL shareholders.

The system consists of two elements, a Stock Option Plan (50%) and a Performance Share Plan (50%).

The main characteristics of the two incentive schemes are as follows:

a) Stock Option Plan

The Stock Option Plan is an option to sell hypothetically MOL shares granted on a past strike price at a spot price and so realizing a profit with the difference between the two prices. The incentive schemes has the following characteristics.

- ▶ It covers a 5-year period starting annually, with the period being split into a 2-year vesting period (exercising Stock Options is not possible) and a 3-year exercising period. If the Stock Option is not exercised, it lapses on December 31 of the last year.
- ▶ The grants are defined in line with job categories.
- ▶ The rate of incentive is linked to individual short-term performance with STI framework described above.

As a new part of the managerial remuneration package, from 2013 managers who are entitled to the long-term incentive scheme, are also eligible for an annual one-time payout, in case the Annual General Meeting of MOL Plc. decides on a dividend payment for the given year. The amount thereof is equal to the product of the dividend paid for one share and of the numbers of Stock Option awarded to the given the manager. The purpose of the incentive is to balance the incentive in terms of share price movements after dividend payments of the Company.

b) Performance Share Plan

The Performance Share Plan is a 3-year cash based programme using a comparative share price methodology with the following characteristics:

- ▶ The programme starts each year on a rolling scheme with a 3-year vesting period. Payments are due after the 3rd year.
- ▶ Target is the development of MOL's share price compared to relevant and acknowledged regional and industry specific indicators (the CETOP20 and DJ Emerging Market Titans Oil&Gas 30 Index that covers both regional and industrial peers).
- ▶ The basis of the evaluation is the average difference in MOL's year-on-year share price performance in comparison to the benchmark indices during 3 years.
- ▶ The rate of incentive is linked to individual short-term performance.

Choice of Performance Measures for the LTI

The choice of LTI awards being linked to the share price and dividend distribution reflects the Board's strategic priority on restoring value creation. Through its long term incentives schemes, MOL prioritizes to provide its shareholders with a return on their investment through both the appreciation of the share price as well as through the payment of dividends.

The choice of CETOP20 and Dow Jones Emerging Market Titans Oil&Gas 30 Index reflects the fact that MOL competes on a regional basis (Central and Eastern Europe) for investor flows as well as with the global emerging market Oil & Gas sector. The choice of these two indexes is consistent with the purpose of incentivizing and ultimately rewarding executives for providing competitive returns to current as well as future investors over the long-term relative to the broader regional and global oil & gas markets.

As the previous 1-year based Profit Sharing incentive was replaced by the 3-year based Performance Share Plan in 2013, a 1-year based incentive was introduced for 2013 and a 2-year based incentive was introduced for 2013-2014 to ensure continuity.

Other Fringe Benefits

These include company cars (also used for private purposes), life&accident insurance, travel insurance, liability insurance, an annual health check and a complex healthcare service.

Supervisory Board

The Supervisory Board is responsible for monitoring and supervising the Board of Directors on behalf of the shareholders (General Meeting). Members of the Supervisory Board shall be elected by the General Meeting for a definite period, but for a maximum of five (5) years, the present membership is nine. In accordance with Act V of 2013 on the Civil Code (Civil Code), 1/3 of the members shall be representatives of the employees, accordingly three members of the MOL Supervisory Board are employee representatives with the other six external persons appointed by the shareholders.

The members of the Supervisory Board and their independence status:

György Mosonyi, Chairman	non-independent
Dr. Attila Chikán, Deputy Chairman	independent
John I. Charody	independent
Slavomír Hatina	independent
Attila Juhász	non-independent (employee representative)
Dr.sc. Žarko Primorac	independent
Andrea Hegedűs	non-independent (employee representative)
Dr. Sándor Puskás	non-independent (employee representative)
István Töröcskei	independent

The Chairman of the Supervisory Board is a permanent invitee to the meetings of the Board of Directors, Finance and Risk Management Committee and Sustainable Development Committee meetings.

Regular agenda points of the Supervisory Board include the quarterly report of the Board of Directors on company's operations and the reports of Internal Audit and Corporate Security and besides it is informed and is kept updated on other relevant issues, topics as well. In addition, the Supervisory Board reviews the proposals for the Annual General Meeting. The Supervisory Board reviews its annual activity during the year.

In 2014 the Supervisory Board held 5 meetings with a 91% attendance rate.

Remuneration of the members of the Supervisory Board

The Annual General Meeting held on April 27, 2005 approved the remuneration scheme for the Supervisory Board. Under this scheme, the members of the Supervisory Board receive remuneration of EUR 3,000/month, while the Chairman of the Supervisory Board receives remuneration of EUR 4,000/month. In addition to this monthly fee, the Chairman of the Supervisory Board is entitled to receive gross EUR 1,500 for participation in each Board of Directors or Board Committee meeting, up to 15 times per annum. From January 1, 2012, the Chairman of the Audit Committee is also entitled to receive gross EUR 1,500 for participation in each Board Committee meeting, up to 15 times per

annum. Besides the monthly remuneration both the Chairman and the members of the Supervisory Board are entitled to receive further EUR 1,500 for each extraordinary meeting that is held in addition to the scheduled annual meetings. This remuneration is provided maximum two times a year.

Other benefits

The members of the Supervisory Board are entitled to receive further non-financial benefits, including life and accident insurance, travel- and liability insurance. Besides that an annual health screening and an exclusive healthcare package is also available for the members of the Supervisory Board.

Audit Committee

In 2006, the general meeting appointed the Audit Committee comprised of independent members of the Supervisory Board. The Audit Committee strengthens the independent control over the financial and accounting policy of the Company. The independent Audit Committee's responsibilities include the following activities among others:

- providing assistance to the Supervisory Board in supervising the financial report regime in selecting an auditor, and in working with the auditor;
- the tasks of the audit committees of its subsidiaries which are consolidated by the Company, operate as public limited companies or issue securities admitted to trading on regulated market, if the relevant laws allow that and the subsidiary in question does not operate a separate audit committee.

Members of the Audit Committee and dates of appointment (professional backgrounds of members are available on company homepage):

- Dr. Attila Chikán - Chairman, 27 April, 2006
- John I. Charody, 27 April, 2006
- István Töröcskei 1 May, 2011

and in case of long-term incapacitation of any of the permanent members, Dr.sc. Žarko Primorac.

Report of the Audit Committee on its 2014 activities

In 2014, the Audit Committee held 5 meetings with a 100% average attendance rate. In addition to the regular items on the agenda, including the audit of all public financial reports, providing assistance with the auditor's work and the regular monitoring of Internal Audit, the Committee reviewed the major risk factors of the Company, considering the changed international financial position and the status reports on risk management actions attached to these factors. The Audit Committee continuously monitored the Company's financial position in particular with regard to the impacts caused by the crisis. The Audit Committee reviewed the materials of the Annual General Meeting (i.e. financial reports, statements of the Auditor).

Integrated corporate risk management function

The aim of MOL Group Risk Management is to deal with challenges of the business environment to support a stable and sustainable operation and future growth of the company. MOL Group has developed risk management function as an integral part of its corporate governance structure.

Incorporation of the broadest variety of risks into one long-term, comprehensive and dynamic system is arranged by **Enterprise Risk Management (ERM)** on group level. ERM integrates financial and operational risks along with a wide range of strategic risks, also taking into consideration compliance issues and potential reputation effects. The ERM process identifies the most significant risks to the performance of the company. Risks are assessed based on a unified methodology and collected into risk maps at different levels. Risk responses and controls are reviewed and mitigation actions set and reviewed for completion regularly by top management.

The main risk drivers of the Group are the following

- **Commodity price risk:** MOL is exposed to commodity price risk on both the purchasing side and the sales side. The main commodity risks stem from long crude oil position to the extent of its group level production, long refinery margin position to the extent of the refined product volumes and long petrochemical margin position. Investors buying oil companies' share are generally willing to take the risk of oil business so commodity price risk should not be fully eliminated from the cash flow. However, commodity hedge deals are considered to eliminate risks other than 'business as usual' risks or general market price volatility.
- **Foreign Exchange (FX) risk:** Business operation is economically driven mainly by USD. The overall operating cash flow exposure of the Group is net long USD, EUR, RON, and net short HUF, HRK, RUB from economic point of view. According to MOL's current FX risk management policy the long FX exposures of the operating cash flow are decreased by the short financing cash flow exposures.
- **Regulatory risk:** Due to the economic crisis the risk of potential government actions increased as well as potential impact of such decisions.
- **Country risks:** The internationally extending portfolio requires the proper management of country risk exposures. Country exposures are monitored to enhance the diversification effect in the investment portfolio.
- **Drilling risks:** The uncertainty related to drilling success is a typical business risk in the exploration activity.
- **Equipment breakdown:** Due to the high asset concentration in Downstream business it is a significant risk driver. The potential negative effects are mitigated besides comprehensive HSE activities through a Group wide insurance management program.
- **Market demand uncertainties:** External factors like drop in market demand can affect MOL's results negatively.
- **Reputation risk:** Reputation of energy industry players has been in the focus of media for the past years due to extreme negative events (e.g. BP oil spill, Fukushima nuclear accident). MOL as a major market player in the region operates under special attention from stakeholders.

Some of the risks are managed centrally, while some are dealt by affected MOL Group companies or within the Business Units or Functions, overseen always by nominated risk owners. Risk Management regularly controls the realization of these risk mitigation actions – in a form of quarterly reports.

Main risk management tools

Enterprise Risk Management is a framework covering Business Units and Functional Units, which ensures incorporation of risks faced by the company into Risk Maps.

Risk analysis activity supports stable and efficient operation by identifying key risks that threaten achievement of company objectives and require specific attention by Top Management through strengthened controls or execution of mitigation actions. The Risk Map is a heat map used to graphically present major risks on a matrix using probability and impact ratings as a result of detailed risk assessment processes. The Risk Maps integrate Strategic, Operational and Financial risks, which are identified and reassessed on a quarterly basis, providing regular updates to Top Management on evolution of risks and status of mitigation actions.

To ensure the profitability and the financial stability of the Group, **Financial Risk Management** is in place to handle short-term, market related risks. Commodity price, FX and interest rate risks are measured by using a complex model based on Monte Carlo simulation, and are managed – if necessary - with risk mitigation tools (such as swaps, forwards and options).

Transferring of excess operational risks is done by **Insurance Management**. Purchase of insurances represents an important risk mitigation tool used to cover the most relevant operational and liability exposures. The major insurance types are: Property Damage, Business Interruption, Liability and Control of Well Insurance, set around a yearly cycle (i.e. annual renewal of most insurance programs). Insurance is managed through a joint program for the whole MOL Group to exploit considerable synergy effects.

Valuable synergies can be exploited when risk is approached in a comprehensive way

The existence of an integrated risk management function enables MOL to exploit the synergies between the above detailed pillars of risk management. The input sources of modelling financial risks are applied in ERM as well. Similarly, the accumulated information on operational risks gained through managing insurances is also an important factor in the ERM development. The results of ERM on operational risks (including business continuity management) can give a better direction to insurance management by highlighting areas that shall be covered by insurance as a must and which are those where further analysis is required to make decisions on how to manage the related risks.

Decision making support of capital allocation

Besides providing information on the most imperative risks that MOL Group faces, Risk Management also supports top management and the Board of Directors to take more educated decisions on investments, taking into consideration the risk profile of each project as well. To serve this purpose, Group Risk Management is involved in evaluation of major projects through the utilization of its ERM capabilities by providing opinion on capital allocation and financing headroom.

External auditors

The MOL Group was audited by Ernst and Young in both 2014 and 2013, excluding FGSZ Zrt. (audited by Pricewaterhouse Coopers).

Within the framework of the audit contract, E&Y performs an audit of statutory financial statements, including interim financial statements of MOL Plc. prepared in accordance with Law C of 2000 on Accounting and the consolidated annual financial statements prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). Audits of the above mentioned financial statements are carried out in accordance with the Hungarian National Standards on Auditing, the International Standards on Auditing (ISA), the provisions of Accounting Law and other relevant regulations. The auditors ensure the continuity of the audit by scheduling regular on-site reviews during the year, participating in the meetings of MOL's governing bodies and through other forms of

consultation. The auditors also review the stock exchange reports issued quarterly; however they do not perform an audit of or issue any opinion on such reports with regards to these.

E&Y also provided other services to MOL Group. Summary of the fees paid to them in 2014 and 2013 are as follows (HUF mn):

	2014	2013
Audit fee for MOL Plc. (including audit fee for interim financial statements)	154	154
Audit fee for subsidiaries	524	373
Other audit related services*	15	23
Other non-audit services *	152	2
Tax advisory services	303	330
Total	1 148	882

*The specification has been modified for more transparent communication.

The increase of Audit fee for subsidiaries is almost entirely resulting from the recent acquisitions (Wintershall, Premier Oil and Eni) and activities in connection with new legal entities. Other non-audit services increased due to the relocation of certain subsidiaries to Netherland in connection with international Upstream operation. The Board of Directors does not believe that non-audit services provided by E&Y compromised their independence as auditors.

Relationship with the shareholders, prohibition of insider trading

The Board is aware of its commitment to represent and promote shareholders' interests, and recognises that it is fully accountable for the performance and activities of the MOL Group. To help ensure that the Company can meet shareholders' expectations in all areas, the Board continually analyses and evaluates developments, both in the broader external environment as well as at an operational level.

Formal channels of communication with shareholders include the Annual Report and Accounts and the quarterly interim management reports, as well as other public announcements made through the Budapest Stock Exchange (primary exchange) and the Warsaw Stock Exchange. Regular and extraordinary announcements are published on MOL's homepage and the Financial Authority's publication site. Moreover we send e-mail announcements to those who registered to be subscribed to the distribution list of e-mail announcements of the Investor Relations. In addition, presentations on the business, its performance and strategy are given to shareholders at the Annual General Meeting. Regular Roadshow visits are also made to various cities in the UK, the US and Continental Europe where meetings are held with representatives of the investment community, including MOL shareholders and holders of MOL's Global Depository Receipts. Furthermore, investors are able to raise questions or make proposals at any time during the year, including the Company's General Meeting. Investor feedbacks are regularly reported to the Board of Directors.

MOL has an Investor Relations department which is responsible for the organisation of the above activities as well as for the day-to-day management of MOL's relationship with its shareholders (contact details are provided in the "Shareholder Information" section at the end of Annual report). Extensive information is also made available on MOL's website (mol.hu/en/), which has a dedicated section for shareholders and the financial community. MOL has always given special care to provide a considerably wide range of information to the capital markets, in line with international best practice. Therefore Investor Relations Department of MOL continuously renewing its website (direct link at: molgroup.info/en/investor-relations). The aim of the development is to make the website

even more user-friendly, in accordance with the intention to continuously improve our services, in order to meet the requirements of our shareholders, analysts and other capital market participants.

In 2014 MOL participated in 6 roadshows and 11 investor conferences (1 US and 16 European) having around 230 meetings with potential and existing shareholders. Moreover 1 dedicated road show were organised to bond investors and MOL participated on 3 dedicated conferences to bond investors.

MOL Group is committed to the fair marketing of publicly-traded securities. Insider dealing in securities is also regarded as a criminal offence in most of the countries in which MOL Group carries out business. Therefore, we require not only full compliance with relevant laws, but also the avoidance of even the appearance of insider securities trading and consultancy.

In line with the laws and MOL's insider trading regulation:

- it is prohibited to conclude a transaction, directly or indirectly, using inside information involving financial instruments to which the inside information pertains, or to commission the services of others to transact such deals, to convey inside information to others, to make a suggestion to another person to engage in dealing with any financial instrument to which the inside information pertains.
- in case the inside information concerns another listed company, belonging to MOL Group, the trading prohibition shall be also applied to the related financial instruments of that company.

Exercising the shareholders' rights, general meeting participation

Voting rights on the general meeting can be exercised based on the voting rights attached to shares held by the shareholders. Each "A" Series share entitles its holder to one vote. The actual voting power depends on how many shares are registered by the shareholders participating in the general meeting.

A condition of participation and voting at the general meeting for shareholders is that the holder of the share(s) shall be registered in the Share Register. The depositary shall be responsible for registering the shareholders in the Share Register pursuant to the instructions of such shareholders in line with the conditions set by the general meeting invitation. According to Article 8.6 of Articles of Associations: „Each shareholder – at the shareholder's identification related to the closing of the share registry prior to the next general meeting –, shall declare whether he, or he and any other shareholder belonging to the same shareholder group as specified in Articles 10.1.1 and 10.1.2 holds at least 2% of the Company's shares, together with the shares regarding which he asks for registration." If the conditions described in the previous sentence are met, the shareholder requesting registration is obliged to declare the composition of the shareholder group taking into account Article 10.1.1 and 10.1.2.

Further, the shareholder shall, for the request of the Board of Directors, immediately identify the ultimate beneficial owner with respect to the shares owned by such shareholder. In case the shareholder fails to comply with the above request or in case there is a reasonable ground to assume that a shareholder made false representation to the Board of Directors, the shareholder's voting right shall be suspended and shall be prevented from exercising it until full compliance with said requirements.

According to Article 10.1.1 of Articles of Associations: „No shareholder or shareholder group (as defined in Article 10.1.2 of Articles of Associations) may exercise more than 10% of the voting rights with the exception of the organization(s) acting at the Company’s request as depository or custodian for the Company’s shares or securities representing the Company’s shares (the latter shall be exempted only insofar as the ultimate person or persons exercising the shareholder’s rights represented by the shares and securities deposited with them do not fall within the limitations specified here below).”

In accordance with the Civil Code the shareholders have the right to participate, to request information and to make remarks and proposals at the General Meeting. Shareholders are entitled to vote, if they hold shares with voting rights. The shareholders having at least one per cent of the voting rights may request the Board of Directors to add an item to the agenda of the General Meeting. Where a group of shareholders together controlling at least one per cent of the votes in the Company propose certain additions to the agenda in accordance with the provisions on setting the items of the agenda, or table draft resolutions for items included or to be included on the agenda, the matter proposed shall be construed to have been placed on the agenda if such proposal is delivered to the Board of Directors within eight days following the time of publication of notice for the convocation of the general meeting, and the Board of Directors publishes a notice on the amended agenda, and on the draft resolutions tabled by shareholders upon receipt of the proposal. The conditions to participate in the general meeting are published in the invitation to the general meeting. Invitations to the general meeting are published on company homepage according to the Articles of Association. The ordinary general meeting is usually held in April, in line with the current regulations.

The ordinary general meeting, based on the proposal of Board of Directors approved by the Supervisory Board, shall have the authority to determine profit distribution, i.e. the amount of the profit after taxation to be reinvested into the Company and the amount to be paid out as dividends. Based upon the decision of the general meeting, dividend can be paid in a non-cash form as well.

The starting date for the payment of dividends shall be defined by the Board of Directors in such way as to ensure a period of at least 10 working days between the first publication date of such announcement and the initial date of dividend distribution. Only those shareholders are entitled to receive dividend, who are registered in the share register of the Company on the basis of shareholders identification executed on the date published by the Board of Directors in the announcement on the dividend payment. Such date relevant to the dividend payment determined by the Board of Directors may deviate from the date of the general meeting deciding on the payment of dividend.

Corporate Governance Declaration on Compliance with the Corporate Governance Recommendations

As part of the Corporate Governance Report, by completing the following tables, the company declares to what extent it applied in its own practice of corporate governance the recommendations and suggestions formulated in the different points of the Corporate Governance Recommendations published by the Budapest Stock Exchange Ltd.

By reviewing the tables, market participants may receive information on the extent to which the corporate governance practice of different companies meets certain requirements included in the CGR, and may easily compare the practices of the different companies.

Level of compliance with the Recommendations

The company should indicate whether it applies the relevant recommendation or not, and in the case of a negative answer, it should provide the reasons for not applying the given recommendation.

R 1.1.1 The Managing Body ensured that shareholders received access to information in time to enable them to exercise their rights.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 1.1.2 The company applies the "one share - one vote" principle.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

"B" series share is a voting preference share held by Hungarian State Holding Company presently. Par value of "A" series shares is HUF 1,000, while the par value of "C" series shares is HUF 1,001, but the rights attached to these shares, taking into account the different par value, are identical. Currently all "C" series shares are held by MOL.

According to the Articles of Associations, no shareholder or shareholder group may exercise more than 10% of the voting rights with the exception of the organization(s) acting at the Company's request as depository or custodian for the Company's shares or securities representing the Company's shares. This voting limitation was approved by a general meeting held in 1995 and since that time all of our investors purchased shares knowing this limitation.

R 1.2.8 The company ensures that shareholders must meet the same requirements in order to attend at the general meeting.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 1.2.9 Items on the general meeting agenda only include subjects which are correctly detailed and summarized clearly and unambiguously.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The proposals included the suggestions of the Supervisory Board and a detailed explanation of the effects of the decision.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The proposals included the explanation of the effects of the decision. Though the Supervisory Board analyses all proposals, which fall within the exclusive scope of authority of the

Company's General Meeting, it submits written report only on the proposal on the annual report and the distribution of the profit after taxation.

R 1.2.10 Shareholders' comments on and supplements to the items on the agenda were published at least two days prior to the general meeting.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

In 2014, there were no shareholders' comments on the items on the agenda before the AGM, but a resolution proposal to the agenda was received which has been published

R 1.3.8 Comments on the items of the agenda were made available to shareholders simultaneously with registration at the latest.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

Written comments made on the items on the agenda were published two working days prior to the general meeting.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

In 2014, there were no shareholders' comments on the items on the agenda before the AGM, but a resolution proposal to the agenda was received which has been published.

R 1.3.10 The election and dismissal of executives took place individually and by separate resolutions.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.1.1 The responsibilities of the Managing Body include those laid out in 2.1.1.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.3.1 The Managing Body held meetings regularly, at times designated in advance.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The Supervisory Board held meetings regularly, at times designated in advance.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The rules of procedure of the Managing Body provide for unscheduled meetings and decision-making through electronic communications channels.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The rules of procedure of the Supervisory Board provide for unscheduled meetings and decision-making through electronic communications channels.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.5.1 The Board of Directors / Supervisory Board of the company has a sufficient number of independent members to ensure the impartiality of the board.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.5.4 At regular intervals (in connection with the CG Report) the Board of Directors / Supervisory Board requested a confirmation of their independent status from those members considered independent.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.5.6 The company disclosed on its website the guidelines on the independence of the Board of Directors/ Supervisory Board, as well as the criteria applied for assessing independence.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.6.1 Members of the Managing Body informed the Managing Body (Supervisory Board/Audit Committee) if they (or any other person in a close relationship to them) had a significant personal stake in a transaction of the company (or the company's subsidiary).

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.6.2 Transactions between board and executive management members (and persons in close relationship to them) and the company (or its subsidiary) were conducted according to general rules of practice of the company, but with stricter transparency rules in place.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

Transactions which according to 2.6.2, fell outside the normal course of the company's business, and their terms and conditions were approved by the Supervisory Board (Audit Committee).

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

According to MOL's practice, these transactions are approved by the Board of Directors, with the simultaneous notification to the chairman of the Supervisory Board.

R 2.6.3 Board members informed the Supervisory Board/Audit Committee if they received an offer of Board membership or an offer of an executive management position in a company which is not part of the company group.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

Board members declare at the time of their appointment, if they have Board membership or an executive management position in a company which is not part of the company group. According to the charter of the Board of Directors, a member of the Board of Directors informs the Board of Directors, if he/she receives an offer of Board membership or an offer of an executive management position in a company which is not part of the company group. Chairman of the Supervisory Board participates in Board meetings as permanent invitee.

R 2.6.4 The Managing Body established its guidelines on information flow within the company and the handling of insider information, and monitored compliance with those guidelines.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The Managing Body established its guidelines regarding insiders' trading in securities and monitored compliance with those guidelines.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.7.1 The Managing Body formulated remuneration guidelines regarding the evaluation and remuneration of the work of the Managing Body, the Supervisory Board and the executive management.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The Supervisory Board formed an opinion on the remuneration guidelines.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The guidelines regarding the remuneration for the Managing Body and the Supervisory Board and the changes in those guidelines were approved by the general meeting, as a separate item on the agenda.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.7.2 The Managing Body prepared an evaluation of the work it carried out in the given business year.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.7.2.1 The Supervisory Board prepared an evaluation of the work it carried out in the given business year.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.7.3 It is the responsibility of the Managing Body to monitor the performance of and determine the remuneration for the executive management.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The frameworks of benefits due to members of the executive management that do not represent normal practice, and the changes in those benefits were approved by the general meeting as a separate agenda item.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.7.4 The structure of share-incentive schemes were approved by the general meeting.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

Prior to the decision by the general meeting on share-incentive schemes, shareholders received detailed information (at least according to those contained in 2.7.4).

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.7.7 The Remuneration Statement was prepared by the company and submitted to the general meeting.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The company provides detailed information on the remuneration principles of the Board of Directors, Supervisory Board and management in the corporate governance chapter of the annual report. It also publishes the cumulated sum of key management compensation as part of the annual financial statements, as well as the remuneration as paid in cash and non-cash for the members of the Board of Directors and Supervisory Board per person, in relation to this position, is published simultaneously with the annual general meeting announcement.

The Remuneration Statement includes information about the remuneration of individual members of the Managing Body, the Supervisory Board, and the executive management.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The company provides detailed information on the remuneration principles of the Board of Directors, Supervisory Board and management in the corporate governance chapter of the annual report. It also publishes the cumulated sum of key management compensation as part of the annual financial statements, as well as the remuneration as paid in cash and non-cash for the members of the Board of Directors and Supervisory Board per person , in relation to this position, is published simultaneously with the annual general meeting announcement.

R 2.8.1 The Managing Body or the committee operated by it is responsible for monitoring and controlling the company's entire risk management.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The Managing Body requests information on the efficiency of risk management procedures at regular intervals.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The Managing Body took the necessary steps to identify the major risk areas.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.8.3 The Managing Body formulated the principles regarding the system of internal controls.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The system of internal controls established by the executive management guarantees the management of risks affecting the activities of the company, and the achievement of the company's performance and profit targets.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.8.4 When developing the system of internal controls, the Managing Body took into consideration the viewpoints included in 2.8.4

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.8.5 It is the duty and responsibility of the executive management to develop and maintain the system of internal controls.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.8.6 The company created an independent Internal Audit function which reports to the Audit Committee / Supervisory Board.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The Internal Audit reported at least once to the Audit Committee/ Supervisory Board on the operation of risk management, internal control mechanisms and corporate governance functions.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.8.7 The internal audit activity is carried out by the Internal Audit function based on authorisation from the Audit Committee / Supervisory Board.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The Internal Audit reports to the Financial and Risk Management Committee, with the simultaneous notification to the Audit Committee.

As an organisation, the Internal Audit function is independent from the executive management.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.8.8 The Internal Audit schedule was approved by the Managing Body (Supervisory Board) based on the recommendation of the Audit Committee.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.8.9 The Managing Body prepared its report for shareholders on the operation of internal controls.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The Managing Body developed its procedures regarding the receipt, processing of reports on the operation of internal controls, and the preparation of its own report.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.8.11 The Managing Body identified the most important deficiencies or flow in the system of internal controls, and reviewed and re-evaluated the relevant activities.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 2.9.2 The Managing Body, the Supervisory Board and the Audit Committee were notified in all cases when an assignment given to the auditor may have resulted in significant additional expense, caused a conflict of interest, or affected normal business practices significantly in any other way.

<u>Yes (Complies)</u>	No (Please explain)
R 2.9.3	The Managing Body informed the Supervisory Board of any assignment given to the external auditor or an external advisor in connection with any event which held significant bearing on the operations of the company.
<u>Yes (Complies)</u>	No (Please explain)
	The Managing Body pre-determined in a resolution what circumstances constitute "significant bearing".
<u>Yes (Complies)</u>	No (Please explain)
R 3.1.6	On its website, the company disclosed duties delegated to the Audit Committee, as well as the committees' targets, rules of procedure, composition (indicating the name, brief biography and the date of appointment of members).
<u>Yes (Complies)</u>	No (Please explain)
R 3.1.6.1	On its website, the company disclosed duties delegated to the Nomination Committee, as well as the committees' targets, rules of procedure, composition (indicating the name, brief biography and the date of appointment of members).
<u>Yes (Complies)</u>	No (Please explain)
R 3.1.6.2	On its website, the company disclosed duties delegated to the Remuneration Committee, as well as the committees' targets, rules of procedure, composition (indicating the name, brief biography and the date of appointment of members).
<u>Yes (Complies)</u>	No (Please explain)
R 3.2.1	The Audit Committee / Supervisory Board monitored the efficiency of risk management, the operation of internal controls, and the activity of the Internal Audit.
<u>Yes (Complies)</u>	No (Please explain)
R 3.2.3	The Audit Committee / Supervisory Board received accurate and detailed information on the work schedule of the Internal Auditor and the independent auditor, and received the auditor's report on problems discovered during the audit.
<u>Yes (Complies)</u>	No (Please explain)
R 3.2.4	The Audit Committee / Supervisory Board requested the new candidate for the position of auditor to submit the disclosure statement according to 3.2.4
<u>Yes (Complies)</u>	No (Please explain)
R 3.3.1	There is a Nomination Committee operating at the company.
<u>Yes (Complies)</u>	No (Please explain)

The Corporate Governance and Remuneration Committee manages issues related to the composition of the Board of Directors and the Supervisory Board. Therefore at the following questions on Nomination Committee, MOL makes declaration on the Corporate Governance and Remuneration Committee.

R 3.3.2 The Nomination Committee provided for the preparation of personnel changes.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The Nomination Committee reviewed the procedures regarding the election and appointment of members of the executive management.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The Nomination Committee evaluated the activity of board and executive management members.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The Nomination Committee examined all the proposals regarding the nomination of board members which were submitted by shareholders or the Managing Body.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 3.4.1 There is a Remuneration Committee operating at the company.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The works of the Remuneration Committee are carried out by the Corporate Governance and Remuneration Committee. Therefore at the following questions on Remuneration Committee, MOL makes declaration on the Corporate Governance and Remuneration Committee.

R 3.4.2 The Remuneration Committee made a proposal for the system of remuneration for the boards and the executive management (individual levels and the structure of remuneration), and carries out its monitoring.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 3.4.3 The remuneration of the executive management was approved by the Managing Body based on the recommendation of the Remuneration Committee.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The remuneration of the Managing Body was approved by the general meeting based on the recommendation of the Remuneration Committee.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The Remuneration Committee also monitored the share option, cost reimbursement and other benefits in the remuneration system.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 3.4.4 The Remuneration Committee made proposals regarding remuneration guidelines.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 3.4.4.1 The Remuneration Committee made proposals regarding the remuneration of individual persons.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 3.4.4.2 The Remuneration Committee reviewed the terms and conditions of contracts concluded with the members of the executive management.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 3.4.4.3 The Remuneration Committee ascertained whether the company fulfilled its disclosure obligations regarding remuneration issues.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 3.4.7 The majority of the members of the Remuneration Committee are independent.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 3.5.1 The Managing Body disclosed its reasons for combining the Remuneration and Nomination Committees.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

Since 2003, MOL's corporate governance practice has been rated by several international corporate governance rating and advisory firm. None of the rating firms have commented the combination of the remuneration and nomination committee functions.

R 3.5.2 The Managing Body carried out the duties of the Nomination Committee and disclosed its reasons for doing so.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The duties of the Remuneration and Nomination Committees were carried out by the Corporate Governance and Remuneration Committee.

R 3.5.2.1 The Managing Body carried out the duties of the Remuneration Committee and disclosed its reasons for doing so.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The duties of the Remuneration and Nomination Committees were carried out by the Corporate Governance and Remuneration Committee.

R 4.1.1 In its disclosure guidelines, the Managing Body established those principles and procedures which ensure that all relevant information about the operations of the company and circumstances influencing its share price are disclosed and made available accurately, in a timely fashion and in full.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 4.1.2 The company ensured in its disclosure activities that all shareholders and market participants were treated equally.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 4.1.3 The company's disclosure guidelines include the procedures governing electronic, on-line disclosure.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The company develops its website taking into consideration disclosure guidelines and the provision of information to investors.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 4.1.4 The Managing Body assessed the efficiency of disclosure processes.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 4.1.5 The company published its corporate events calendar on its website.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 4.1.6 In the annual report and on the website of the company, the public was informed about the company's corporate strategy, its main business activities, business ethics and its policies regarding other stakeholders.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 4.1.8 In the annual report the Managing Body disclosed the character and size of any other assignments given by the company or its subsidiaries to the auditing firm responsible for auditing the financial statements.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 4.1.9 In the annual report and on the website the company discloses information on the professional career of the members of the Managing Body, the Supervisory Board and the executive management.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 4.1.10 The company provided information on the internal organisation and operation of the Managing Body and the Supervisory Board.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 4.1.10.1 The company provided information on the criteria considered when evaluating the work of the Managing Body, the executive management and the individual members thereof.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The company provided information on the criteria considered when evaluating the work of the Managing Body, the executive management. However, there was no information on the criteria considered when evaluating individual members.

R 4.1.11 In the annual report and in the Remuneration Statement on the company's website, the company informed the public about the applied remuneration guidelines, including the remuneration and fees provided for members of the Managing Body, the Supervisory Board and the executive management.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The company provides detailed information on the remuneration principles of the Board of Directors, Supervisory Board and management in the corporate governance chapter of the annual report. It also publishes the cumulated sum of key management compensation as part of the annual financial statements, as well as the remuneration as paid in cash and non-cash for the members of the Board of Directors and Supervisory Board per person, in relation to this position, is published simultaneously with the annual general meeting announcement.

R 4.1.12 The Managing Body disclosed its risk management guidelines, including the system of internal controls, the applied risk management principles and basic rules, as well as information about major risks.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 4.1.13 In order to provide market participants with information, the company publishes its report on corporate governance at the same time that it publishes its annual report.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 4.1.14 The company discloses its guidelines governing insiders' trading in the company's securities on its website.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

The company published in the annual report and on its website ownership in the company's securities held by the members of the Managing Body, the Supervisory Board and the executive management, as well as any interests held in share-incentive schemes.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

R 4.1.15 In the annual report and on its website, the company disclosed any relationship between members of the Managing Body and the executive management with a third party, which might have an influence on the operations of the company.

Yes (Complies)

No (Please explain)

Level of compliance with the Suggestions

The company should indicate whether the relevant suggestion of the CGR is applied or not (Yes / No)

S 1.1.3 The company has an investor relations department.

Yes

No

S 1.2.1 The company published on its website the summary document regarding the conducting of the general meeting and the exercise of shareholders' rights to vote (including voting via proxy)

Yes

No

S 1.2.2 The company's articles of association are available on the company's website.

Yes

No

S 1.2.3 The company disclosed on its website information according to 1.2.3 (on the record date of corporate events).

Yes

No

S 1.2.4 Information and documents according to 1.2.4 regarding general meetings (invitations, proposals, draft resolutions, resolutions, minutes) were published on the company's website.

Yes

No

S 1.2.5 The general meeting of the company was held in a way that ensured the greatest possible shareholder participation.

Yes

No

S 1.2.6 Additions to the agenda were published within 5 days of receipt, in the same manner as the publication of the original invitation for the general meeting.

Yes

No

In 2014, there were no shareholders' comments on the items on the agenda before the AGM, but a resolution proposal to the agenda was received which has been published

S 1.2.7 The voting procedure applied by the company ensured unambiguous, clear and fast decision making by shareholders.

Yes

No

S 1.2.11 At the shareholders' request, the company also provided information on the general meeting electronically.

Yes

No

S 1.3.1 The identity of the chairman of the general meeting was approved by the company's general meeting prior to the discussion of the items on the agenda.

Yes No

S 1.3.2 The Managing Body and the Supervisory Board were represented at the general meeting.

Yes No

S 1.3.3 The company's articles of association render possible that at the initiation of the chairman of the Managing Body or the shareholders of the company, a third party be invited to the company's general meeting and be granted the right of participation in the discussion of the relevant items on the agenda.

Yes No

S 1.3.4 The company did not prevent shareholders attending the general meeting from exercising their rights to request information, make comments and proposals, and did not set any pre-requisites to do so.

Yes No

The company has not made any further requirements above those set by the law.

S 1.3.5 The company published on its website within three days its answers to those questions which it was unable to answer satisfactorily at the general meeting. Where the company declined to give an answer it published its reasons for doing so.

Yes No

S 1.3.6 The chairman of the general meeting and the company ensured that in answering the questions raised at the general meeting, national laws and regulations of the Stock Exchange pertaining to disclosure were complied with.

Yes No

S 1.3.7 The company published a press release and held a press conference on the decisions passed at the general meeting.

Yes No

S 1.3.11 The company's general meeting decided on the different amendments of the articles of association in separate resolutions.

Yes No

S 1.3.12 The minutes of the general meeting containing the resolutions, the presentation of draft resolutions, as well as the most important questions and answers regarding the draft resolutions were published by the company within 30 days of the general meeting.

Yes No

S 1.4.1 The dividend was paid within 10 days to those shareholders who had provided all the necessary information and documentation.

Yes

No

The dividend was paid on the starting day of dividend payment to those shareholders who had provided all the necessary information and documentation. Following this date, dividend is paid monthly to those shareholders providing the necessary documentation.

S 1.4.2 The company disclosed its policy regarding anti-takeover devices.

Yes

No

S 2.1.2 The rules of procedure define the composition of the Managing Body and all procedures and protocols for the preparation and holding of meetings, the drafting of resolutions and other related matters.

Yes

No

S 2.2.1 The rules of procedure and the work schedule of the Supervisory Board gives a detailed description of its operation and duties, as well as procedures and processes which the Supervisory Board followed.

Yes

No

S 2.3.2 Board members had access to the proposals of a given meeting at least five days prior to the board meeting.

Yes

No

S 2.3.3 The rules of procedure regulate the regular or occasional participation at board meetings of persons who are not members of the boards.

Yes

No

S 2.4.1 The election of the members of the Managing Body took place in a transparent way, information on candidates was made public at least five days prior to the general meeting.

Yes

No

S 2.4.2 The composition of boards and the number of members complies with the principles specified in 2.4.2

Yes

No

S 2.4.3 Newly elected, non-executive board members were able to familiarize themselves with the structure and operations of the company, as well as their duties as board members through a tailored induction programme.

Yes

No

S 2.5.2 The separation of the responsibilities of the Chairman of the Managing Body from those of the Chief Executive Officer has been outlined in the basic documents of the company.

Yes

No

S 2.5.3 The company has published a statement about the means it uses to ensure that the Managing Body gives an objective assessment of the executive management's work where the functions of Chairman and CEO are combined.

Yes

No

S 2.5.5 The company's Supervisory Board has no member who held a position in the Managing Body or the executive management of the company in the three years prior to his nomination.

Yes

No

S 2.7.5 The development of the remuneration system of the Managing Body, the Supervisory Board and the executive management serves the strategic interests of the company and thereby those of the shareholders.

Yes

No

S 2.7.6 In the case of members of the Supervisory Board, the company applies a fixed amount of remuneration and does not apply a remuneration component related to the share price.

Yes

No

S 2.8.2 The Managing Body developed its risk management policy and regulations with the cooperation of those executives who are responsible for the design, maintenance and control of risk management procedures and their integration into the company's daily operations.

Yes

No

S 2.8.10 When evaluating the system of internal controls, the Managing Body took into consideration the aspects mentioned in 2.8.10

Yes

No

S 2.8.12 The company's auditor assessed and evaluated the company's risk management systems and the risk management activity of the executive management, and submitted its report on the matter to the Audit Committee / Supervisory Board.

Yes

No

The company's auditor receives regular information on the risk management system and the risk management operations, and it can follow and monitor these activities. During the audit process the audit reviews and analyses the risk management system and the efficiency of the risk management operations for its own purposes, but it does not issue a report on such audits to any external party (e.g. Audit Committee).

S 2.9.1 The rules of procedure of the Managing Body cover the procedure to be followed when employing an external advisor.

Yes

No

S 2.9.1.1 The rules of procedure of the Supervisory Board cover the procedure to be followed when employing an external advisor.

Yes

No

S 2.9.1.2 The rules of procedure of the Audit Committee cover the procedure to be followed when employing an external advisor.

Yes

No

Audit Committee did not employ and external auditor in 2014.

S 2.9.1.3 The rules of procedure of the Nomination Committee cover the procedure to be followed when employing an external advisor.

Yes

No

Corporate Governance and Remuneration Committee did not employ and external auditor in 2014.

S 2.9.1.4 The rules of procedure of the Remuneration Committee cover the procedure to be followed when employing an external advisor.

Yes

No

Corporate Governance and Remuneration Committee did not employ and external auditor in 2014.

S 2.9.4 The Managing Body may invite the company's auditor to participate in those meetings where it debates general meeting agenda items.

Yes

No

S 2.9.5 The company's Internal Audit function co-operated with the auditor in order to help it successfully carry out the audit.

Yes

No

S 3.1.2 The chairmen of the Audit Committee regularly inform the Managing Body about the meetings of the committee, and the committee prepared at least one report for the Managing Body and the Supervisory Board in the given business year.

Yes

No

Audit Committee regularly inform the Board of Directors on the above mentioned subject.

S 3.1.2.1 The chairmen of the Nomination Committee regularly inform the Managing Body about the meetings of the committee, and the committee prepared at least one report for the Managing Body and the Supervisory Board in the given business year.

Yes

No

Corporate Governance and Remuneration Committee regularly informs the Board of Directors on the above mentioned subject.

S 3.1.2.2 The chairmen of the Remuneration Committee regularly inform the Managing Body about the meetings of the committee, and the committee prepared at least one report for the Managing Body and the Supervisory Board in the given business year.

Yes

No

Corporate Governance and Remuneration Committee regularly informs the Board of Directors on the above mentioned subject.

S 3.1.4 The company's committees are made up of members who have the capabilities, professional expertise and experience required to perform their duties.

Yes

No

S 3.1.5 The rules of procedure of committees operating at the company include those aspects detailed in 3.1.5

Yes

No

S 3.2.2 The members of the Audit Committee / Supervisory Board were fully informed about the accounting, financial and operational peculiarities of the company.

Yes

No

S 3.3.3 The Nomination Committee prepared at least one evaluation for the chairman of the Managing Body on the operation of the Managing Body and the work and suitability of the members of the Managing Body.

Yes

No

There was a self-evaluation on the operation and suitability of the Board of Directors 2014.

S 3.3.4 The majority of the members of the Nomination Committee are independent.

Yes

No

S 3.3.5 The rules of procedure of the Nomination Committee includes those details contained in 3.3.5.

Yes

No

S 3.4.5 The Remuneration Committee prepared the Remuneration Statement.

Yes

No

The company provides detailed information on the remuneration principles of the Board of Directors, Supervisory Board and management in the corporate governance chapter of the annual report. It also publishes the cumulated sum of key management compensation as part of the annual financial statements, as well as the remuneration as paid in cash and non-cash

for the members of the Board of Directors and Supervisory Board per person, in relation to this position, is published simultaneously with the annual general meeting announcement.

S 3.4.6 The Remuneration Committee exclusively consists of non-executive members of the Managing Body.

Yes

No

S 4.1.4 The disclosure guidelines of the company at least extend to those details contained in 4.1.4

Yes

No

The Managing Body informed shareholders in the annual report on the findings of the investigation into the efficiency of disclosure procedures.

Yes

No

S 4.1.7 The company's financial reports followed IFRS guidelines.

Yes

No

S 4.1.16 The company also prepares and releases its disclosures in English.

Yes

No