



SERINUS ENERGY INC.

Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the year ended December 31, 2016
(US Dollars)

This Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") related to the annual period ending December 31, 2016, dated March 16, 2017, was refiled on December 14, 2017 because the MD&A filed on March 16, 2017 did not conclude on the effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures ("DC&P") and Internal Controls over Financial Reporting ("ICFR"). The following sentences were omitted from the MD&A filed on March 16, 2017 and included in this MD&A in the section "Disclosure Controls and Procedures and Internal Controls over Financial Reporting":
"Under the supervision of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Serinus conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of its DC&P and ICFR as at December 31, 2016. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that the DC&P and ICFR were effective as of December 31, 2016."

This Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") for Serinus Energy Inc. ("Serinus", or "the Company") is a review of the results of operations and the liquidity and capital resources of Serinus Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively "Serinus" or "the Company"). The MD&A should be read in conjunction with Serinus' December 31, 2016 audited Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying notes. Readers should also read the "Forward-Looking Statements" legal advisory contained at the end of this document.

Management is responsible for preparing the MD&A, while the audit committee of the Company's Board of Directors ("the Board") reviews the MD&A and recommends its approval by the Board.

This MD&A uses United States dollars ("US Dollars" or "USD") which is the reporting currency of the Company. The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") also referred to as GAAP. This document is dated March 16, 2017.

In the Advisory section located at the end of this document, readers can find the definition of certain terms used in the disclosure regarding Oil and Gas Information, Non-IFRS Measures as well as information on "Critical Accounting Estimates". Additional information related to Serinus, including its Annual Information Form, is available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com or on Serinus' website at www.serinusenergy.com

HIGHLIGHTS

- Average crude oil prices in Tunisia were lower in 2016, at \$42.10 per bbl, compared to \$52.75 per bbl in 2015, reflecting the decline in Brent prices throughout 2015 reaching an average low of \$30.70 per bbl during January 2016. During Q4 2016, average crude prices were higher at \$47.40 per bbl as compared to \$41.85 in the comparable period of 2015. Brent prices averaged \$49.19 per bbl as compared to \$43.56 per bbl in the comparable period of 2015, an increase of 13%.
- Revenue, net of royalties, from Tunisia for year ended December 31, 2016 decreased to \$14.0 million, compared to \$23.0 million in 2015, due to lower commodity prices and lower production. For the three months ended December 31, 2016, revenue net of royalties decreased to \$3.7 million, from \$4.2 million in the comparative period of 2015, due to lower production, partially offset by higher commodity prices.
- The net loss from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$27.5 million, compared to a net loss from continuing operations of \$52.2 million in 2015. Included within this loss was asset impairment of \$16.8 million (2015: \$51.4 million) as a result of negative technical reserve revisions and sustained low oil and natural gas prices.
- Funds from operations^{a b} was an outflow of \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 (2015: inflow of \$16.8 million). Funds from operations from Tunisia were \$4.4 million, the corporate loss was \$9.0 million and offsetting this loss from continuing operations were the funds from operations from Ukraine of \$3.0 million. In 2016, the sale of Ukraine, lower production and lower commodity prices contributed to the decrease in funds from operations. For the three months ended December 31, 2016, funds from operations was an outflow of \$0.4 million (2015: inflow of \$2.1 million). Funds from operations for the three months were comprised of funds from operations in Tunisia of \$1.1 million offset by a corporate loss from operations of \$1.5 million.
- The netback^{b c} for Tunisia in 2016 was \$11.41 per boe, compared to \$20.86 per boe in 2015. The lower netback was driven by lower commodity prices partially offset by decreased production expenses.
- During 2016, production from Tunisia averaged 1,124 boe/d, down from 1,348 during 2015, a decline of 17%. Lower production during 2016 was due to natural declines and various technical issues including a blockage at WIN-12bis, and ESP pump failures and subsequent un-planned workovers required at CS-1, CS-9 and CS-3.
- During the fourth quarter of 2016, production from Tunisia averaged 1,131 boe/d, down from 1,277 boe/d in the comparable period of 2015. Lower production was due to natural declines and lower production in the Chouech Es Saida field as both CS-1 and CS-3 wells went down in mid-December.
- Tunisia production for 2016 was weighted 76% (2015: 78%) oil with the remainder consisting of natural gas production.
- In Q2 2016, Serinus, through its Tunisian subsidiary, entered into a marketing agreement with Shell International Trading and Shipping Company Limited ("Shell") for the sale of its Tunisian oil production. The term of the agreement is for 5 years and the pricing mechanism is competitive with realized prices that Tunisia has received from other purchasers of its Tunisian crude oil. This benefits Serinus by getting regular crude oil liftings from a large and highly reputable purchaser. During the year ended December 31, 2016 there was one lifting that occurred under the Shell agreement.
- Effective October 28, 2016, the National Agency for Mineral Resources ("NAMR"), the Romanian regulator, granted its final approval for the Phase 3 Extension Addendum for the Satu Mare Concession ("Satu Mare") in northwest Romania. The term is for three years and expires on October 28, 2019. The work obligations pursuant to the extension include the drilling of two wells, and, at the Company's option, either the acquisition of 120 km² of new 3D seismic data or drill a third well. The two firm wells must be drilled to minimum depths of 1,000 and 1,600 metres respectively, and if so elected, the third well to a depth of 2,000 metres. The Company, through its indirectly wholly owned subsidiary Winstar Satu Mare S.A ("Winstar Satu Mare"), currently holds a 60% interest in Satu Mare. The holder of the remaining 40% interest has acknowledged that they are unable to participate in future phases under the concession. However, they are currently in a tax dispute with the government of Romania the results of which are a protective seizure of the partner's interest in Romania. Under the conditions of this protective seizure by the Romanian fiscal authorities, the holder of the remaining 40% of the interest in the Satu Mare concession is restricted from transferring this interest unless authorised by the Romanian fiscal authorities
- Subsequent to year end, the Company filed a short form prospectus, dated February 21, 2017, which qualified for distribution 72 million common shares of the Company at CAD\$0.35 per share for aggregate gross proceeds of

^a See "Funds from Operations" for a reconciliation of funds from operations to cash flows

^b See "Non-IFRS Financial Measures" at the end of this MD&A

^c See "Oil and Gas Netback" for a reconciliation of netback to revenue

CAD\$25.2 million (net CAD\$24.3 million, after agents fees of CAD\$0.9 million)(“the Offering”). The Offering closed on February 24, 2017, and the net proceeds will be used by the Company to fund the development of the Moftinu Gas Plant and pre-work for the 2018 drilling program in the Satu Mare Concession in Romania, production enhancement in the Sabria block in Tunisia, and for general corporate purposes.

- As at December 31, 2016, the outstanding principal on EBRD debt was \$27.1 million, reflecting prepayments made as a result of the disposition of Ukraine, regular scheduled repayments made in March and September, and a repayment under the excess cash sweep provision in May 2016.
- At December 31, 2016, the Company was not in compliance with the financial debt to EBITDA ratio at the consolidated level on its debt held with the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (“EBRD”). Subsequently, EBRD has formally waived compliance with this ratio for the year ended December 31, 2016. The implication of this waiver is that debt repayments will follow their original scheduled repayment terms and the bank will not be acting on its security. However, given the covenant was breached as at December 31, 2016, Serinus has reclassified its long-term debt to current in the financial statements, as required under accounting standards. There is a risk that the Company will continue to violate certain financial covenants relating to its debt held with EBRD, particularly given the current low commodity prices. Although the EBRD has previously provided waivers for covenant breaches there is no certainty this will occur in the future. If these covenants are not met, the debt may therefore become payable on demand.
- On August 31, 2016, Serinus announced changes to its senior executives. Mr. Timothy Elliott, President and Chief Executive Officer, and Mr. Jock Graham, Executive Vice President and Chief Operations Officer retired effective August 31, 2016. Mr. Jeffrey Auld was appointed as Chief Executive Officer effective August 31, 2016 to coincide with Mr. Elliott’s retirement.
- In Q1 2016, Serinus announced the closing of the sale of its 70% interest in Ukraine to Resano Trading Ltd. for total cash consideration of \$33.2 million including all working capital and inter-company adjustments. Net proceeds of the sale were used to repay \$19.2 million of debt and interest outstanding with the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (“EBRD”) against the Romania and Tunisia debt facilities. The balance of the proceeds will be used for general corporate purposes and to help fund development of the Moftinu gas discovery in Romania. The results of operations of Ukraine are included in the consolidated results of Serinus up to the date the sale closed and are reflected as discontinued operations in the statement of operations.

OPERATIONAL OVERVIEW

Serinus is an international oil and gas exploration and production company with operations in Tunisia and Romania. The Company has management offices in Calgary (Canada) and an Investor relations office in Warsaw (Poland).

Included in the MD&A is an analysis of the above operations. The Company also had operations in Ukraine which were sold at the beginning of February 2016. Operations in Ukraine, up to the date of sale, have been presented as discontinued operations in the Statement of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. For purposes of this MD&A, analysis of the results of Ukraine have been included in the section titled Discontinued Operations.

Tunisia

As at December 31, 2016, Serinus has the following interests in Tunisia concessions:

Concession	Working interest	Expiry date
Chouech Es Saida	100%	December 2027
Ech Chouech	100%	June 2022
Sabria	45%	November 2028
Zinnia	100%	December 2020
Sanrhar	100%	December 2021

Of the Tunisian concessions, currently Sabria and Chouech Es Saida are producing oil and gas.

The Tunisian state oil and gas company, Enterprise Tunisienne d’Activites Petroliere (“ETAP”), has the right to back into the Chouech Es Saida concession for up to a 50% interest, if and when the cumulative crude oil sales, net of royalties and

shrinkage, from the concession exceed 6.5 million barrels. As at December 31, 2016, cumulatively 5.2 million barrels, net of royalties and shrinkage have been sold from the concession.

The Company began to generate revenues in Tunisia with its acquisition of Winstar in June, 2013, and since that time has generated \$107.5 million of revenue, net of royalties, in aggregate from these assets.

Romania

Serinus, through its wholly owned subsidiary Winstar Satu Mare SA, currently holds a 60% interest in Satu Mare.

Serinus will concentrate on the development of the Moftinu-1001 discovery which will include building surface facilities. The Moftinu gas development project is a near-term project that is expected to begin producing from the gas discovery wells Moftinu-1001 and Moftinu-1000 in early 2018. The Corporation has obtained all necessary approvals for, and will soon commence, the construction of a gas plant with 15 MMcf/d of operational capacity. Construction of the project will proceed over 2017. The Company is also developing the drilling program to meet work commitments for the extension.

Given the success in Moftinu, the Company is also proceeding to refine and expand the exploration inventory within the concession. Based on older vintage 2D seismic data and existing wells, management has identified over 25 leads and prospects. The exploration program may include acquiring more seismic.

The holder of the remaining 40% interest has acknowledged that they are unable to participate in future phases under the concession. However, they are currently in a tax dispute with the government of Romania the results of which are a protective seizure of the partner's interest in Romania. Under the conditions of this protective seizure by the Romanian fiscal authorities, the holder of the remaining 40% of the interest in the Satu Mare concession is restricted from transferring this interest unless authorised by the Romanian fiscal authorities.

The Satu Mare concession is on the border with Hungary and Ukraine within the Pannonian Basin and the term of the concession agreement expires in September 2034.

Other

In Brunei, effective October 2016, the Block L production sharing agreement ("Block L PSA") was terminated. Previously, the Company held a 90% working interest in the Brunei Block L PSA. The Company is obligated to undertake site restoration work. The Brunei Block L assets are fully impaired.

Serinus has interests in a minor property at Sturgeon Lake in Alberta, Canada. This asset is not currently producing and has a future abandonment liability associated with it of CAD\$1.4 million. Abandonment work of \$0.4 million was undertaken during 2016 (2015: \$nil).

FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS

Serinus uses funds from operations as a key performance indicator to measure the ability of the Company to generate cash from operations to fund future exploration and development activities. Funds from operations is not a standard measure under IFRS and therefore may not be comparable to similar measures reported by other entities.

Serinus Energy Inc. Annual 2016 MD&A
(Thousands of US dollars, except as noted)

	Three months ended Dec 31,		Years ended Dec 31,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Funds from operations ^(a)	\$ (368)	\$ 2,127	\$ (1,640)	\$ 16,829
Funds from operations per share	\$ (0.00)	\$ 0.03	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.21
Funds from:				
Continuing operations	\$ (368)	\$ (2,192)	\$ (4,652)	\$ 935
Discontinued operations ^(b)	-	4,319	3,012	15,894
	\$ (368)	\$ 2,127	\$ (1,640)	\$ 16,829

(a) Funds from operations is defined as cash flow from operations before changes in non-cash working capital and is calculated as oil and gas revenue net of royalties, less production expenses, G&A, transaction costs, current taxes and realized foreign exchange gains/losses. Funds from operations are not a standard measure under IFRS. See section titled "Non-IFRS Financial Measures" for advisory over use of non-IFRS financial measures.

(b) Ukraine is reported as a discontinued operation in the Statement of Operations.

Funds from continuing operations increased by \$1.8 million for the three-month period ended December 31, 2016 to negative \$0.4 million, as compared to negative \$2.2 million in the comparable period of 2015. The increase in funds from operations for continuing operations was primarily attributable to lower production expenses and general and administrative costs, offset by lower revenue due to production volumes. Refer to table below for funds from operations by country.

On a full year basis, funds from continuing operations has declined by \$5.6 million to a negative \$4.7 million, as compared to \$0.9 million in 2015. The decrease in funds from operations was primarily attributable to lower commodity prices, lower production expenses, and higher general and administrative costs, partially offset by decreased production costs.

Discussion regarding funds from operations relating to Ukraine is included in the section titled Discontinued Operations.

The following tables are a reconciliation of funds from operations to its most closely related IFRS measures, cash flow from operations, and segmented net earnings (loss).

Year ended December 31,	Romania		Tunisia		Ukraine		Corporate		Total	
	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015
Segmented net earnings (loss)	\$ 6	\$ 675	\$ (15,038)	\$ (36,345)	\$ (30,657)	\$ 4,352	\$ (12,489)	\$ (16,480)	\$ (58,178)	\$ (47,798)
Items not involving cash:										
Depletion and depreciation	5	5	5,070	8,190	599	10,346	183	206	5,857	18,747
Impairment of assets	-	-	16,754	51,390	-	3,303	-	-	16,754	54,693
Loss on disposition	-	-	-	(5)	33,040	82	-	16	33,040	93
Accretion on asset retirement obligation	5	-	770	589	2	21	-	-	777	610
Stock based compensation	-	-	-	-	-	-	85	775	85	775
Expenditures on decommissioning liabilities	-	-	-	-	-	-	(407)	-	(407)	-
Unrealized loss on investments	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	50	8	50
Unrealized foreign exchange loss	7	-	154	-	105	1,106	112	-	378	1,106
Deferred income tax recovery	-	-	(3,357)	(13,802)	-	(1,493)	-	-	(3,357)	(15,295)
Interest and other income	-	-	-	-	(78)	(2,312)	(10)	1,498	(88)	(814)
Interest expense	-	-	-	-	1	489	3,490	4,173	3,491	4,662
Funds from operations	23	680	4,353	10,017	3,012	15,894	(9,028)	(9,762)	(1,640)	16,829
Changes in non-cash working capital	-	-	1,784	621	(2,143)	(3,529)	564	(1,339)	205	(4,247)
Cash flow (used) from operations	23	680	6,137	10,638	869	12,365	(8,464)	(11,101)	(1,435)	12,582

Serinus Energy Inc. Annual 2016 MD&A
(Thousands of US dollars, except as noted)

	Romania		Tunisia		Ukraine		Corporate		Total	
	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015
Three months ended December 31,										
Segmented net earnings (loss)	\$ 56	\$ 134	\$ (12,137)	\$ (7,698)	\$ -	\$ (429)	\$ (2,338)	\$ (6,727)	\$ (14,419)	\$ (14,720)
Items not involving cash:										
Depletion and depreciation	1	1	1,343	1,392	-	3,498	41	52	1,385	4,943
Impairment of assets	-	-	16,754	7,113	-	3,303	-	-	16,754	10,416
Loss on disposition	-	-	-	(5)	-	82	12	16	12	93
Accretion on asset retirement obligation	2	-	193	148	-	5	-	-	195	153
Stock based compensation	-	-	-	-	-	-	49	40	49	40
Expenditures on decommissioning liabilities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unrealized loss on investments	-	-	-	-	-	-	(13)	(14)	(13)	(14)
Unrealized foreign exchange loss	11	-	86	-	-	382	36	-	133	382
Deferred income tax expense (recovery)	-	-	(5,167)	539	-	(553)	-	-	(5,167)	(14)
Interest and other income	-	-	-	-	-	(2,024)	(7)	1,663	(7)	(361)
Interest expense	-	-	-	-	-	55	710	1,154	710	1,209
Funds from operations	70	135	1,072	1,489	-	4,319	(1,510)	(3,816)	(368)	2,127
Changes in non-cash working capital	-	-	2,496	(1,936)	-	389	238	911	2,734	(636)
Cash flow (used) from operations	70	135	3,568	(447)	-	4,708	(1,272)	(2,905)	2,366	1,491

PRODUCTION

	Three months ended Dec 31,		Years ended Dec 31,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Average Daily Production				
Crude Oil (bbl/d)	842	1,001	853	1,055
Natural gas (Mcf/d)	1,733	1,655	1,628	1,755
Total boe/d	1,131	1,277	1,124	1,348

Tunisia production is from the Chouech Es Saida and Sabria fields.

Production volumes decreased 11% in the fourth quarter to 1,131 boe per day, compared to 1,277 boe per day in the comparable period of 2015. In Q4 2016, decreased production was due to natural declines and lower production in Chouech Es Saida as CS-3 and CS-1 wells went down in the middle of December. These two wells remain off-line in Q1 2017 pending pump replacement and workovers. CS-3 is anticipated to be back on stream early April 2017 pending resolution of the shut-in of the Chouech Es Saida field announced February 28, 2017. The decline in production in the Chouech Es Saida field was offset by increased production in Sabria due to increased production from WIN12-bis as compared to 2015, due to a blockage in September and October restricting October 2015 production.

On a full year basis, production decreased by 17% to 1,124 boe per day, compared to 1,348 boe per day in the prior year. Lower production during 2016 was due to natural declines and various technical issues including a blockage at WIN-12bis in January 2016, and ESP pump failures and subsequent un-planned workovers required at the CS-1, CS-9 and CS-3 wells.

OIL AND GAS REVENUE AND CHANGE IN OIL INVENTORY

	Three months ended Dec 31,		Years ended Dec 31,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Crude oil sales	\$ 6,040	\$ 3,855	\$ 11,949	\$ 20,331
Change in oil inventory	(2,367)	-	1,194	-
Natural gas sales	783	939	2,804	5,644
	\$ 4,456	\$ 4,794	\$ 15,947	\$ 25,975
Crude Oil(\$/bbl)	\$ 47.40	\$ 41.85	\$ 42.10	\$ 52.75
Natural gas (\$/mcf)	4.91	6.17	4.71	8.81
Average price (\$/boe)	\$ 42.82	\$ 40.81	\$ 38.75	\$ 52.77

In Q2 2016, Serinus, through its Tunisian subsidiary, entered into a marketing agreement with Shell International Trading and Shipping Company Limited for the sale of its Tunisian oil production. The term of the agreement is for 5 years and the pricing mechanism is competitive with realized prices that Tunisia has received from other purchasers of its Tunisian crude

oil. This benefits Serinus by getting regular crude oil liftings from a large and highly reputable purchaser. During the year ended December 31, 2016 there were two tanker liftings in March 2016, and one lifting that occurred in November under the Shell agreement. After which, there have been no further tanker liftings and crude is now accumulating pursuant to the Shell contract.

As the crude oil accumulates the Company records inventory at its net realizable value and the change in inventory is recorded in the income statement as Change in oil inventory. The cash that is received monthly from Shell is presented on the balance sheet as Advances for crude oil sales. Once the crude oil is physically lifted onto tankers and title passes, the Inventory and Advances are reversed and an Accounts Receivable is set up for the remaining amount due from Shell, and the Change in oil inventory in the income statement is reclassified as Revenue. As at December 31, 2016, the Company was in an under-lifted position of 23,421 bbl of which 5,534 bbl have been reserved for local oil sales. As a result of the under-lifted position the Company has recorded inventory of \$1.2 million.

The Company is required to sell 20% of its annual oil production from the Sabria concession into the local market, which is sold at an approximate 10% discount to the price obtained on its other crude sales. For the three months and year ended December 31, 2016, Brent prices averaged \$49.19 per bbl and \$43.55 per bbl as compared to \$43.56 per bbl and \$52.35 per bbl in the comparable periods of 2015, respectively, reflecting a 13% increase quarter over quarter, and a 17% decline year over year. Natural gas prices are nationally regulated and are tied to the twelve month trailing average of low sulphur heating oil (benchmarked to Brent).

Oil and gas revenues totalled \$4.5 million for Q4 2016, compared to \$4.8 million in Q4 2015. The decrease of 7% was attributable to a 16% decrease in oil production, offset by a 13% increase in the average commodity price in the quarter.

On a full year basis, revenues totaled \$15.9 million as compared to \$26.0 million in the comparable period of 2015. The decrease of 39% is primarily attributable to lower commodity prices, driven by lower Brent prices, and lower production. On a year to date basis, the average commodity price was 17% lower than 2015 and production was down 17%.

ROYALTIES

	Three months ended Dec 31,		Years ended Dec 31,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Total royalties	\$ 735	\$ 562	\$ 1,972	\$ 2,989
\$/boe	\$ 7.06	\$ 4.78	\$ 4.79	\$ 6.07
Royalties as a percentage of sales	16.5%	11.7%	12.4%	11.5%

Tunisian royalties are based on individual concession agreements, none of which exceed 15%. In two concessions, Sabria and Zinnia, the royalty rate varies depending on a calculation of cumulative revenues, net of taxes, as compared to cumulative investment in the concession, known as the “R factor”. As the R factor increases, so does the royalty percentage to a maximum rate of 15%.

The average royalty rate for Q4 2016 was 16.5% as compared to 11.7% in Q4 2015. In Q4 2016, the R factor increased for Sabria, resulting in the oil royalty rate increasing from 7% to 10%, and the gas royalty rate increasing from 6% to 8%, for full year 2016. The impact of this change on full year 2016 was recognized in Q4. This was partially offset by proportionally more production from Sabria in 2016, which has a lower royalty rate than the 15% royalty rate in Choueuch Es Saida. The increase in the per \$/boe metric for the three months ended December 31, 2016 is attributable to higher oil prices and the higher royalty rate in Q4 2016 as compared to the comparable period in 2015.

On a full year basis, the average royalty rate increased to 12.4% as compared to 11.5%. The increase in the average royalty rate reflects the increase in R factor in Sabria resulting oil and natural gas royalties increasing to 10% and 8% respectively as compared to 7% and 6% in the comparative period.

PRODUCTION EXPENSES

	Three months ended Dec 31,		Years ended Dec 31,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Tunisia	\$ 2,674	\$ 3,698	\$ 9,279	\$ 12,718
Canada	(65)	105	79	248
Production expenses	<u>\$ 2,609</u>	<u>\$ 3,803</u>	<u>\$ 9,358</u>	<u>\$ 12,966</u>
Tunisia production expense (\$/boe)	<u>\$ 25.70</u>	<u>\$ 31.48</u>	<u>\$ 22.55</u>	<u>\$ 25.84</u>

On an absolute basis, production expense for Q4 2016 decreased by 31% compared to the same period in 2015. On a boe basis, the costs only decreased 18% to \$25.70 per boe as compared to \$31.48 boe in 2015, reflecting the production decline in the quarter, as discussed in the Production section on the previous page.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, production expenses decreased 28% to \$9.4 million from \$13.0 million in the comparable period of 2015. On a per boe basis, production expenses decreased only 13% to \$22.55 per boe from \$25.84 per boe in the prior year.

Production expenses have decreased year over year on an absolute and per boe basis mainly due to cost cutting measures, lower personnel costs, cost savings due to Sanrhar being shut in, as well as a one-time inventory write-off in the prior year.

Canadian production expenses relate to the Sturgeon Lake assets and totalled \$(65) thousand and \$79 thousand for the three months and year ended December 31, 2016. The asset is not producing and is incurring minimal operating costs to maintain the property.

OIL AND GAS NETBACK

Serinus uses netback as a key performance indicator to measure the Company's revenue less the direct costs consisting of royalties and production expenses to assist management in understanding Serinus' profitability relative to current market conditions and as an analytical tool to benchmark changes in operational performance against prior periods. Netback is not a standard measure under IFRS and therefore may not be comparable to similar measures reported by other entities.

The following table shows the reconciliation of netback to its most closely related IFRS measure revenue:

Netback by Commodity

(Volumes in thousand)

	Three months ended Dec 31,					
	2016			2015		
	Gas (Mcf)	Oil (bbl)	Total (boe)	Gas (Mcf)	Oil (bbl)	Total (boe)
Average daily sales volumes	1,733	842	1,131	1,655	1,001	1,277
Revenue	\$ 4.91	\$ 47.40	\$ 42.82	\$ 6.17	\$ 41.85	\$ 40.81
Royalty expense	(0.68)	(8.08)	(7.06)	(0.66)	(5.02)	(4.78)
Production expenses	(6.68)	(20.76)	(25.70)	(4.99)	(31.90)	(31.48)
Netback ^(a)	<u>\$ (2.45)</u>	<u>\$ 18.56</u>	<u>\$ 10.06</u>	<u>\$ 0.52</u>	<u>\$ 4.93</u>	<u>\$ 4.55</u>

Netback by Commodity

(Volumes in thousand)

	Years ended Dec 31,					
	2016			2015		
	Gas (Mcf)	Oil (bbl)	Total (boe)	Gas (Mcf)	Oil (bbl)	Total (boe)
Average daily sales volumes	1,628	853	1,124	1,755	1,055	1,348
Revenue	\$ 4.71	\$ 42.10	\$ 38.75	\$ 8.81	\$ 52.75	\$ 52.77
Royalty expense	(0.49)	(5.37)	(4.79)	(0.95)	(6.17)	(6.07)
Production expenses	(3.74)	(22.59)	(22.55)	(4.31)	(25.83)	(25.84)
Netback ^(a)	<u>\$ 0.48</u>	<u>\$ 14.14</u>	<u>\$ 11.41</u>	<u>\$ 3.55</u>	<u>\$ 20.75</u>	<u>\$ 20.86</u>

Serinus Energy Inc. Annual 2016 MD&A
(Thousands of US dollars, except as noted)

(a) Netback is defined as revenue less direct expenses and is calculated as oil and gas revenue net of royalties, less production expenses. Netback is not a standard measure under IFRS; see section titled “Non-IFRS Financial Measures” for advisory over the use of non-IFRS financial measures.

The netback increased to \$10.06 per boe in Q4 2016 compared to \$4.55 in Q4 2015. The increase in Q4 2016 is due to lower production expenses and increased realized prices partially offset by increased royalty expense.

The netback decreased to \$11.41 per boe for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to \$20.86 in 2015. The decrease in 2016 is due to lower realized prices partially offset by lower production expenses and royalties.

GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

	Three months ended Dec 31,		Years ended Dec 31,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
General and administrative	\$ 1,361	\$ 2,271	\$ 8,320	\$ 6,984
\$/boe	\$ 13.08	\$ 4.76	\$ 15.15	\$ 3.62
\$/continuing operations/boe	\$ 13.08	\$ 19.33	\$ 20.22	\$ 14.19

General and administrative (“G&A”) costs incurred by the Company are expensed, with certain costs directly related to exploration and development assets being capitalized or reported as production costs. The G&A costs reported above are therefore a net number representing gross G&A costs incurred less recoveries.

G&A costs decreased in Q4 2016 due to the termination of operations in Dubai in the prior quarter, as well as cost saving measures.

On a full year basis, G&A increased 19% from the prior year, primarily due to \$2.4 million in termination benefits and associated costs for the retiring executives. Excluding these costs, G&A costs year over year have decreased to \$5.9 million in 2016 from \$6.9 million in 2015, primarily due to cost saving measures. However, the decrease in reported G&A costs is somewhat muted by lower recoveries in 2016, reflecting limited activity and a minimal capital program.

The \$/boe metrics are impacted by the inclusion of Ukraine production in periods up to the date of close of sale of Ukraine. Normalizing the \$/boe metrics to exclude Ukraine production results in the following \$/continuing operations/boe metrics, G&A costs decreased 32% to \$13.08 per boe for the quarter, primarily driven by a 40% decrease in absolute G&A costs, partially offset by an 11% decrease in production from 2015.

On a full year basis, G&A costs were \$20.22 per boe, a 42% increase from \$14.19 in 2015, the increase reflecting a 19% increase in absolute G&A costs and a 17% decrease in production from 2015.

STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

	Three months ended Dec 31,		Years ended Dec 31,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Stock based compensation	\$ 49	\$ 40	\$ 85	\$ 775
\$/boe	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.40
\$/continuing operations/boe	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.21	\$ 1.58

The Company has granted common share purchase options to officers, directors, and employees with exercise prices equal to or greater than the fair value of the common shares on the grant date. Upon exercise, the options are settled in common shares issued from treasury. For options issued prior to 2016, each tranche of the share purchase options have a five-year term and vest one-third immediately with the remaining two-thirds at one-third per year each on the anniversary of the grant date. In Q3 2016, options were granted with a seven-year term and which vest one-third per year on the anniversary of the grant date for the three subsequent years. All options are to be settled by physical delivery of shares.

Stock based compensation was consistent for the three months ended December 31, 2016 at \$49 thousand compared to \$40 thousand in Q4 2015.

On a full year basis, stock based compensation expense was \$85 thousand compared to \$775 thousand in 2015. The lower expense in 2016 is primarily due to an accelerated expense in Q1 2015 associated with the cancellation of 2,753,400 options.

DEPLETION AND DEPRECIATION

	Three months ended Dec 31,		Years ended Dec 31,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Tunisia	\$ 1,343	\$ 1,392	\$ 5,070	\$ 8,190
Corporate	42	53	188	211
Depletion and depreciation ("D&D")	<u>\$ 1,385</u>	<u>\$ 1,445</u>	<u>\$ 5,258</u>	<u>\$ 8,401</u>
Tunisia D&D (\$/boe)	<u>\$ 12.91</u>	<u>\$ 11.85</u>	<u>\$ 12.32</u>	<u>\$ 16.65</u>

D&D is computed on a concession by concession basis taking into account the net book value of the concession, future development costs associated with the reserves as well as the proved and probable reserves of the concession.

In Q4 2016, depletion and depreciation expense remained consistent at \$1.4 million, as lower production was offset by an increased depletion rate per boe.

On a per boe basis, depletion rates increased to \$12.91 per boe for the three months ended December 31, 2016, compared to \$11.85 per boe in the comparable period of 2015. The increase was due to downward revisions in reserve volumes at year end 2016, which increased the depletion rate per boe for Q4, 2016, partially offset by a reduction in the depletable base associated with impairment recognized in 2015, due to declining oil prices.

On a full year basis, depletion and depreciation expense decreased to \$5.3 million in 2016 from \$8.4 million in 2015. The decrease in depletion expense was due to a lower depletable base in 2016, resulting in a lower depletion rate per boe, and a 17% reduction in production.

On a full year basis, depletion rates have declined to \$12.32 per boe in 2016 compared to \$16.65 per boe in 2015. The decline in depletion rates is due to a lower depletable base in 2016, reflecting impairment recognized in 2015.

IMPAIRMENT

	Three months ended Dec 31,		Years ended Dec 31,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Impairment	<u>\$ 16,754</u>	<u>\$ 7,113</u>	<u>\$ 16,754</u>	<u>\$ 51,390</u>

The carrying amounts of the Company's property, plant and equipment are reviewed whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable and at a minimum at each reporting date. For the purpose of impairment testing, assets are grouped together into the smallest group of assets that generates cash inflows from continuing use that are largely independent of the cash inflows of other assets or groups of assets (the cash generating unit or "CGU"). The Company's CGU's generally align with each concession or production sharing contract.

Due to the sustained low oil prices and year end reserve revisions, the Company performed impairment tests on its Tunisian CGUs at December 31, 2016 using a fair value less costs to sell methodology. The fair value at year end was based on: 2016 year-end proved plus probable reserves data; a risk-adjusted discount rate of 24%-27%; and a price forecast, adjusted for quality differentials specific to the Company. The calculations resulted in a \$16.8 million impairment charge (December 31, 2015: \$51.4 million).

INTEREST EXPENSE AND ACCRETION

	Three months ended Dec 31,		Years ended Dec 31,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Interest on long-term debt	\$ 712	\$ 1,154	\$ 3,488	\$ 4,172
Other interest charges	-	-	2	-
Accretion on asset retirement obligations	193	148	775	590
	<u>\$ 905</u>	<u>\$ 1,302</u>	<u>\$ 4,265</u>	<u>\$ 4,762</u>

Interest expense for Q4 2016 decreased to \$0.7 million as compared to \$1.2 million in the comparative period of 2015. The decrease in interest expense for the quarter is attributable to a decrease in long term debt outstanding in 2016, due to repayments made in the year.

Interest expense decreased to \$3.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 from \$4.2 million in the comparable period of 2015. Lower interest expense associated with lower debt levels in 2016 were partially offset by the accelerated amortization of deferred financing costs on the Romania EBRD debt, which occurred as a result of the repayment of the Romania EBRD loan in Q1 2016.

Accretion represents the increase in the asset retirement obligation (“ARO”) from the previous year end to reflect the passage of time. The increase in accretion expense in 2016 reflects higher ARO balances to accrete in 2016 as compared to 2015 due to a change in estimate as at December 31, 2015.

FOREIGN EXCHANGE LOSS

Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates are an economic factor that affects the Company’s cash flow required for operations and for investments. The financial statements are presented in US dollars, which is the reporting currency of the Company.

The foreign currency loss was \$0.2 million and \$0.7 million for the three months and year ended December 31, 2016, compared to a loss of \$0.9 million and \$1.8 million in 2015, due to fluctuations of currencies (see foreign currency exchange risk) against the US dollar.

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On February 8, 2016, Serinus announced the closing of the sale of its 70% interest in the shares of KUBGAS Holdings (“KUB Holdings”) to Resano Trading Ltd. KUB Holdings holds 100% of the shares of KUBGAS LLC, a Ukrainian entity, which comprised the Company’s Ukrainian operations. Upon closing, Serinus received total cash consideration of \$33.2 million including working capital and inter-company adjustments.

The results of Ukraine operations are included in the results of Serinus up to the date of closing. On closing all assets, liabilities, minority interest and accumulated other comprehensive loss associated with the Ukrainian operations were derecognized from the balance sheet and recognized in the statement of operations as a loss on disposition. Offsetting the derecognition of balance sheet amounts were the proceeds on disposition, net of associated transaction costs. The loss on disposal primarily relates to accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$34.2 million attributable to Ukraine.

The Ukraine operations and loss on disposition of Ukraine assets are presented as discontinued operations in the Statement of Operations for the comparative 2015 numbers and in 2016 up to the date of close of the sale.

Serinus Energy Inc. Annual 2016 MD&A
(Thousands of US dollars, except as noted)

	Three months ended Dec 31,		Years ended Dec 31,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
<i>Net earnings (loss) from discontinued operations</i>				
Oil and gas revenue	\$ -	\$ 15,220	\$ 5,416	\$ 61,986
Royalty expense	-	(8,089)	(1,492)	(34,701)
Oil and gas revenue, net of royalties	-	7,131	3,924	27,285
Operating expenses:				
Production expenses	-	(2,370)	(396)	(8,539)
General and administrative	-	(4)	(3)	(46)
Depletion and depreciation	-	(3,498)	(599)	(10,346)
Loss on disposition	-	(36)	-	(82)
Asset impairment	-	(3,303)	-	(3,303)
Finance income (expense)				
Interest and other income	-	2,024	78	2,312
Interest expense and accretion	-	(60)	(3)	(510)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	-	(382)	(105)	(1,104)
Earnings before tax	-	(498)	2,896	5,667
Current tax expense	-	(442)	(513)	(2,808)
Deferred tax recovery	-	553	-	1,493
Earnings from discontinued operations (net of tax)	\$ -	\$ (387)	\$ 2,383	\$ 4,352
Loss on disposal (net of transaction costs)	-	-	(33,040)	-
Earnings (loss) for the period	\$ -	\$ (387)	\$ (30,657)	\$ 4,352

Serinus purchased its interests in Ukraine in 2010 for \$45 million. The company received aggregate dividends in the amount of \$41.5 million since acquisition, and total cash consideration of \$33.2 million upon the sale in February 2016, for a 12.5% rate of return over the life of the project.

Production to the date of closing was 2,677 boe/d as compared to 2,807 boe/d in the comparable period of 2015.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, oil and gas revenue was \$5.4 million, a decrease of 91% from \$62.0 million in the prior year. The decrease in revenue is attributable to an 90% decrease in production due to the disposition of Ukraine in February 2016, and a 9% decrease in realized prices.

Average natural gas prices for the year ended December 31, 2016 were \$6.63/Mcf as compared to \$7.19/Mcf in the comparable period of 2015. Market reforms effective October 1, 2015, led to pricing being based on the market in Ukraine, whereas the pricing in the comparable period was set by the National Electricity Regulatory Commission (“NERC”) of Ukraine by reference to the Russian imported gas price. In addition, deterioration in the UAH in early 2016 impacted the realized price. The average exchange rate for the UAH/USD until the date of sale in 2016 was 25.1, compared to 22.1 for the year ended December 31, 2015, a deterioration of 14%.

Royalties averaged 28% in 2016 as compared to 56% in 2015. Effective January 1, 2016 the government of Ukraine reduced royalties on natural gas production to 29%, from the previous 55%, for wells drilled to depths up to 5 kilometers. The effective royalty rate in 2015 of 56% exceeded the stated 55% rate as royalties were based on the NERC limit price for selling gas to industrial consumers, however, the price realized was less than this limit price.

Production expenses were \$2.88 per boe for the year ended December 31, 2016, compared to \$5.94/boe in the comparable period of 2015. Production expenses decreased relative to the comparative period due to cost cutting initiatives, as well as the weakening of the UAH.

Funds from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2016 were \$3.0 million as compared to \$15.9 million in the comparable period of 2015. The decline in funds from operations was due to only including results to the date of closing in 2016 and decreased commodity prices, partially offset by lower royalties and lower expenses.

Depletion was \$4.34 per boe for the year ended December 31, 2016, compared to \$7.20 per boe in the comparable period of 2015. The decrease in depletion rate is primarily due to a decrease in the depletable base associated with impairments recorded in 2015, which has resulted in lower net book values, as well as the weakening of the UAH.

In Ukraine, the Company incurred no capital expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2016.

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES

	Three months ended Dec 31,		Years ended Dec 31,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Capital expenditures on property, plant and equipment	\$ 398	\$ 391	\$ 1,914	\$ 8,451
Capital expenditures on exploration and evaluation assets	577	172	1,737	4,782
Total capital expenditures	<u>\$ 975</u>	<u>\$ 563</u>	<u>\$ 3,651</u>	<u>\$ 13,233</u>
Expenditure by location				
Tunisia	\$ 398	\$ 391	\$ 1,911	\$ 8,430
Romania	577	177	1,740	4,785
Corporate	-	(5)	-	18
	<u>\$ 975</u>	<u>\$ 563</u>	<u>\$ 3,651</u>	<u>\$ 13,233</u>

Capital expenditures consist of expenditures incurred on assets which are in the exploration and evaluation stage and include expenditures incurred on wells and seismic acquisition and processing. For these assets, the technical feasibility and commercial viability of the underlying property has yet to be determined. Exploration and evaluation assets (“E&E”) are not subject to depletion and depreciation, but are tested for impairment if there are triggers identified. As at December 31, 2016, this consists of Romanian assets. Expenditures incurred on assets for which technical feasibility and commercial viability have been determined are classified as property, plant and equipment (“PP&E”).

In Tunisia, the Company incurred \$0.4 million and \$1.9 million of capital expenditures for the three months and year ended December 31, 2016, which included ESP related work on CS-1, CS-3 and CS-9.

In Romania, the Company incurred \$0.6 million and \$1.7 million of capital expenditures for the three months and year ended December 31, 2016, which included pre-permitting and licensing, land rentals and ongoing engineering study costs.

Capitalized costs of Romania’s exploration and evaluation assets totaled \$20.3 million as at December 31, 2016 (December 31, 2015: \$18.5 million).

LIQUIDITY, DEBT AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

	Three months ended Dec 31,		Years ended Dec 31,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Operating	\$ 2,366	\$ 1,491	\$ (1,435)	\$ 12,582
Financing	(13)	309	(27,408)	12,837
Investing	(588)	(14)	21,677	(24,618)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(107)	(436)	(354)	(80)
Change in cash	<u>\$ 1,658</u>	<u>\$ 1,350</u>	<u>\$ (7,520)</u>	<u>\$ 721</u>

During 2016, the Company generated negative cash flow from operations and continued to make debt repayments and incur minimal amounts of capital. In the current low commodity price environment, cash flow generated from the Tunisian assets has not been sufficient to cover all corporate costs, including G&A and debt service obligations. Funds received from the sale of Ukraine in February 2016 have helped mitigate this shortfall. For the year ended December 31, 2016, cash out-flows totaled \$7.5 million compared to inflows of \$0.7 million in the comparable period of 2015.

As is the case with many oil and gas companies, the Company is exposed to the risk that internally generated cash flows may not be sufficient to fund the ongoing operations, capital projects and service debt. Additional financing may not be available to the Company and actual expenditures may exceed those planned.

The Company continues to implement cost savings measures to reduce its costs wherever possible while maintaining existing production in Tunisia. Capital programs were reduced to those absolutely necessary in 2016 to maintain production.

Subsequent to year end, on February 24, 2017, the Company issued 72 million common shares at CAD\$0.35 per share for aggregate gross proceeds of CAD\$25.2 million (net CAD\$24.3 million, after agents fees of CAD\$0.9 million) (“the Offering”). The net proceeds of the Offering will be used by the Company to fund the development of the Moftinu gas development project and pre-work for the 2018 drilling program in the Satu Mare Concession in Romania, production enhancement in the Sabria block in Tunisia, and for general corporate purposes. The Moftinu gas development project is a near-term project that is expected to begin producing from the gas discoveries wells Moftinu-1000 and Moftinu-1001 in early 2018.

There are no restrictions on the use of the Company’s capital resources that could materially affect, directly or indirectly, its operations or activities.

To ensure security and the preservation of capital, the Company’s investment policy for cash that is surplus to immediate requirements is to invest such funds in instruments issued by major chartered banks that are rated “triple A”, or its equivalent by independent rating agencies.

Working Capital

Serinus uses working capital as a key performance indicator to measure the Company’s current assets less current liabilities to assist management in understanding Serinus’ liquidity relative to current market conditions and as an analytical tool to benchmark changes against prior periods. Working capital is not a standard measure under IFRS and therefore may not be comparable to similar measures reported by other entities.

The following table shows the reconciliation of working capital to its most closely related IFRS measure current assets:

	As at Dec 31, 2016	As at December 31, 2015
Current assets	\$ 10,728	\$ 72,914
Current liabilities	(49,203)	(84,157)
Working capital ^(a)	\$ (38,475)	\$ (11,243)

(a) Working capital is defined as current assets less current liabilities. Working capital is not a standard measure under IFRS; see section titled “Non-IFRS Financial Measures” for advisory over the use of non-IFRS financial measures.

Serinus has a working capital deficit of \$38.5 million as at December 31, 2016 (December 31, 2015: \$11.2 million deficit).

The increase in working capital deficit is due to the disposition of Ukraine in Q1, 2016 which was in a positive working capital position as at December 31, 2015, partially offset by a reduction in debt due to debt repayments of \$25.4 million made during 2016. At December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, all debt is presented as a current liability due to the violation of bank covenants which were waived subsequent to period end. The working capital deficiency, excluding debt, would be \$7.5 million at December 31, 2016.

At December 31, 2016, the Company was not in compliance with the annual consolidated financial debt to EBITDA covenant on its debt held with the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (“EBRD”). Subsequently, EBRD has formally waived compliance with this ratio for the year ended December 31, 2016. The implication of this waiver is that debt repayments will follow their original scheduled repayment terms and the bank will not be acting on its security. However, given the covenant was breached as at December 31, 2016, Serinus has reclassified its long-term debt to current in the financial statements, as required under accounting standards. In 2016, the Company financed cash outflows including working capital and capital expenditures from cash generated from Tunisian operations and cash on deposit.

Internally prepared forecasts indicate that the Company is likely to continue to breach certain of its financial covenants in future reporting periods during 2017, due to continuing low commodity prices. Although the EBRD has previously provided

waivers for covenant breaches, there is no certainty this will occur in the future. If these covenants are not met, the debt may therefore become payable on demand. This material uncertainty may cast significant doubt with respect to the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern. The Company is actively evaluating its options at this time, including discussions with the EBRD related to amending the banking facility and its related covenants.

The Corporation is in negotiations with the EBRD to renegotiate the EBRD Tunisia Credit Facility, including amendments to the financial covenants

The following details the debt agreements the Company has or had in place over the period ended December 31, 2016:

EBRD-Tunisia Loan Facility

On November 20, 2013, the Company finalized two loan agreements aggregating \$60 million with EBRD. The Senior Loan is in the amount of \$40 million, has a term of seven years, and was available in two tranches of \$20 million each. The second tranche was subsequently reduced from \$20 million to \$8.72 million upon placement of the EBRD Romanian Facility in the first quarter of 2015. Both loan agreements contain a number of affirmative covenants, including maintaining the specified security, environmental and social compliance, and maintenance of specified financial ratios. Refer to “Covenants” section for details of the associated covenants.

Senior Loan interest is payable semi-annually at a variable rate equal to LIBOR plus 6%. At the Company’s option, the interest rate may be fixed at the sum of 6% and the forward rate available to EBRD on the interest rate swap market. The Company had locked in the interest rate on the \$20.0 million Senior Loan at a rate of 6.9% for a two year period from September 30, 2014 to September 30, 2016 at which time it reverted back to LIBOR plus 6%.

The Senior Loan is repayable in twelve equal semi-annual installments. The first repayment of \$1.7 million was made March 31, 2015 and a further \$2.1 million was made September 2015. In 2016, \$7.6 million of the Senior Loan, including interest, was repaid from proceeds of the sale of Ukraine and scheduled semi-annual installments were paid in March and September 2016 of \$1.7 million each.

The Company must apply 40% of its Excess Cash from Tunisia toward early repayment of the Senior Loan facility outstanding with EBRD. Excess Cash is defined as the Operating Cash Flow from Serinus' Tunisia subsidiary, less debt repayments and service costs arising from all senior debt on the Tunisia assets and the Romanian debt, less capital expenditures, plus any new debt disbursement on the Tunisian debt. In the event that pre-payments are made on the Romanian loan in any given year, the repayment from Tunisia shall drop to 25% of Excess Cash. No pre-payment fees are applicable to the accelerated payments described above. In the second quarter of 2016, a repayment was made under this provision of the loan agreement, relating to excess cash generated in 2015, for \$3.4 million.

As at December 31, 2016, the principle outstanding under the Senior Loan was \$7.1 million.

The Convertible Loan in the amount of \$20 million has a term of seven years, and bears interest at a variable rate that is the LIBOR and a percentage calculated on the basis of incremental net revenues earned from the Tunisian assets, with a floor of 8% per annum and a ceiling of 17% per annum. The Company can elect, subject to certain conditions, to convert all or any portion of the Convertible Loan principal and accrued interest outstanding for newly issued shares of the Company at the then current market price of the shares on the TSX or WSE, as required by the exchange rules. The EBRD can also at any time, and on multiple occasions elect to convert all or any portion of the Convertible Loan principal and accrued interest outstanding for newly issued shares of the Company at the then current market price of the shares on the TSX or WSE.

The Company can also repay the Convertible Loan at maturity in cash or in kind, subject to certain conditions, by issuing new common shares valued at the then current market price of the shares on the TSX or WSE. The repayment amount is subject to a discount of approximately 10% in the event that the requirement for substantially all of the Company’s assets and operations to be located and carried out in the EBRD countries of operations is not met at the date of repayment.

Both loans were available to be drawn for a period of three years, such period has now expired.

The loans are secured by the Tunisian assets, pledges of certain bank accounts, shares of the Company's subsidiaries through which the concessions are owned, benefits arising from the Company's interests in insurance policies, and on-lending arrangements within the Serinus group of companies.

EBRD-Tunisia Loan Facility Covenants

Both loan agreements as part of the EBRD-Tunisia Loan Facility contain a number of affirmative covenants, including maintaining the specified security, environmental and social compliance, and maintenance of specified financial ratios. The covenants use non-GAAP financial measures which are not standard measures under IFRS and may not be comparable to similar measures reported by other entities; details of the calculations have been provided in the footnotes below.

	As at Dec 31, 2016	As at December 31, 2015
Debt Service Coverage Ratio (not less than 1.3:1) (a) - Tunisia (b)	1.4 - In compliance	2.1 - In compliance
Debt Service Coverage Ratio (not less than 1.5:1) (c) - Serinus (d)	2.4 - In compliance	0.6 - In compliance
Financial Debt to EBITDA (no more than 2.5) (e) - Tunisia (f)	1.6 - In compliance	3.2 - Non compliance
Financial Debt to EBITDA (no more than 2.75) (g) - Serinus (h)	98.4 - Non compliance	2.5 - In compliance
Compliance	NO	NO

(a) This calculation is equal to the trailing twelve month cash flow from operations divided by debt service costs. A deduction is made from cash flows for Tunisia capital expenditures not considered part of the EBRD project expenditures.

(b) Tunisia adjusted cash flow was \$5.8 million for the 12 month period ended December 31, 2016. The debt service costs for the same period were \$4.2 million (December 31, 2015: \$12.8 million and \$5.9 million respectively).

(c) This calculation is equal to the trailing twelve month cash flow from operations divided by debt service costs. A deduction is made from cash flow for capital expenditures not considered EBRD project costs.

(d) Serinus' adjusted consolidated cash flow amount was \$11.6 million for the 12 month period ended December 31, 2016. The debt service costs for the same period were \$4.8 million (December 31, 2015: \$5.8 million and \$10.1 million respectively).

(e) Financial debt as defined under the agreement includes the senior portion of the EBRD Tunisian Loan. EBITDA as defined under the agreement is for the trailing 12 months and is defined as oil and gas revenue, net of royalties less production expenses, general and administrative expenses and transaction costs from Tunisia. Subsequent to December 31, 2015, EBRD formally waived compliance with the Tunisia Financial Debt to EBITDA financial covenant that was violated for the reporting period ended December 31, 2015.

(f) Tunisia financial debt totalled \$7.1 million as at December 31, 2016. EBITDA totalled \$4.4 million for the same period (December 31, 2015: \$32.5 million and \$10.1 million respectively).

(g) Financial debt as defined under the agreement includes all Serinus long term debt. EBITDA as defined under the agreement is for the trailing 12 months and is defined as oil and gas revenue, net of royalties less production expenses, general and administrative expenses and transaction costs. Subsequent to December 31, 2016, EBRD formally waived compliance with the consolidated financial debt to EBITDA financial covenant that was violated for the reporting period ended December 31, 2016.

(h) Serinus financial debt totalled \$27.1 million as at December 31, 2016. EBITDA totalled negative \$275 thousand for the 12 month period ending December 31, 2016 (December 31, 2015: \$53.1 million and \$21.4 million respectively).

SHARE DATA

The Company is authorized to issue an unlimited number of common shares of which 78,629,941 common shares and 79,000 options with a USD exercise price and 3,611,000 options with a Canadian Dollar ("CAD") exercise price to purchase common shares were outstanding as at December 31, 2016. Subsequent to year end, in conjunction with the Offering, the Company issued 72,000,000 common shares resulting in 150,629,941 common shares outstanding as at February 24, 2017.

The Company is also authorized to issue an unlimited number of preferred shares. No preferred shares are issued or outstanding.

Summary of common shares outstanding:

	Number of Shares	Carrying Amount
Balance, December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015	78,629,941	\$ 344,479

Summary of options outstanding:

The following table summarizes information about common share purchase options outstanding at December 31, 2016:

	USD denominated options		CAD denominated options	
	Number of Options	Weighted average exercise price per option (US\$)	Number of Options	Weighted average exercise price per option (CAD\$)
Balance, December 31, 2015	1,270,600	\$ 3.96	111,000	\$ 2.28
Granted	-	\$ -	3,500,000	\$ 0.32
Expired/Cancelled	(1,191,600)	\$ 3.97	-	\$ -
Balance, December 31, 2016	<u>79,000</u>	<u>\$ 3.90</u>	<u>3,611,000</u>	<u>\$ 0.38</u>

The following tables summarize information about the USD and CAD options outstanding as at December 31, 2016:

USD denominated options:

Exercise price (US\$)	Outstanding	Exercisable	Weighted average contractual life remaining, years
\$ 3.01 - \$ 4.00	32,000	32,000	1.74
\$ 4.01 - \$ 5.00	35,000	35,000	1.88
\$ 5.01 - \$ 5.10	12,000	12,000	0.19
\$ 3.90	<u>79,000</u>	<u>79,000</u>	<u>1.57</u>

CAD denominated options:

Exercise price (CAD\$)	Outstanding	Exercisable	Weighted average contractual life remaining, years
\$ 0.01 - \$ 1.50	3,500,000	-	6.73
\$ 1.51 - \$ 2.50	74,000	74,000	2.84
\$ 2.51 - \$ 3.22	37,000	37,000	2.43
\$0.38	<u>3,611,000</u>	<u>111,000</u>	<u>6.61</u>

At the date of issuing this report, the following are the options outstanding and changes to directors, executives and officers shares owned since December 31, 2016, up to the date of this report:

Changes to Ownership

Name of Director/Executive Officer/Key Person	Options held as at Mar 16, 2017	Shares held at		
		December 31, 2016	Change in share ownership	Mar 16, 2017
Evgenij Iorich ^(a)	-	3,415	-	3,415
Jeffrey Auld	3,500,000	-	-	-
Helmut Langanger	-	-	-	-
Sebastian Kulczyk ^(b)	-	-	-	-
Lukasz Redziniak	-	-	-	-
Dominik Libicki	-	-	-	-
Tracy Heck	-	-	-	-
Jakub Korczak ^(c)	-	-	-	-
	<u>3,500,000</u>	<u>3,415</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>3,415</u>

a) Mr. Iorich holds a position with Pala Investments, which is related to Pala Assets Holdings Limited ("Pala"). Pala owned 5,880,484 Shares as at December 31, 2016 and in conjunction with the Offering, increased its holding by a further 5,385,600 shares so that as of February 24, 2017, Pala owns 11,266,084 Shares. By virtue of his position with Pala Investments, Mr. Iorich is deemed to have direction over such Shares in addition to those Shares that are shown above.

b) Mr. Kulczyk holds a senior executive position with Kulczyk Investments ("KI"). KI owned 39,909,606 Shares as at December 31, 2016, and in conjunction with the Offering, increased its holding by a further 38,693,049 shares so that as of February 24, 2017, KI owns 78,602,655 Shares. By virtue of his position with KI, Mr. Kulczyk is deemed to have direction over such Shares.

c) Effective January 9, 2017, Mr Korczak resigned from the Company.

As at December 31, 2016, KI owned 50.8% and Pala Holdings owned 7.5% of the common shares issued. As at the date of issuing this report, management is aware of three shareholders holding more than 5% of the common shares of the Company. KI owns 52.18%, Pala owns 7.48%, and Quercus Towarzystwo Funduszy Investycyjnych SA owns 5.24% of the common shares issued.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMITMENTS

The contractual obligations as at December 31, 2016 are as follows:

	Within 1 Year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years	+5 Years	Total
Office Rental	\$ 592	\$ 899	\$ 385	\$ -	\$ 1,876
EBRD loan – Tunisia ^(a)	3,464	3,734	24,050	-	31,248
Total contractual obligations	\$ 4,056	\$ 4,633	\$ 24,435	\$ -	\$ 33,124

a) EBRD loan obligations are presented excluding deferred financing costs and include only current accrued interest.

The Company's commitments are all in the ordinary course of business and include the work commitments for Tunisia and Romania.

Tunisia

The Tunisian state oil and gas company, ETAP, has the right to back into up to a 50% working interest in the Chouech Es Saida concession if, and when, the cumulative crude oil sales, net of royalties and shrinkage, from the concession exceeds 6.5 million barrels. As at December 31, 2016, cumulative liquid hydrocarbon sales net of royalties and shrinkage was 5.2 million barrels.

Romania

The work obligations pursuant to the Phase 3 extension, approved on October 31, 2016, include the drilling of two wells, and, at the Company's option, either the acquisition of 120 km² of new 3D seismic data or drill a third well. The two firm wells must be drilled to minimum depths of 1,000 and 1,600 metres respectively, and if so elected, the third well to a depth of 2,000 metres. The term of the Phase 3 extension is for three years, expiring on October 28, 2019.

Office Space

The Company has a lease agreement for office space in Calgary, Canada which expires on November 30, 2020.

OFF BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

Serinus was not party to any off balance sheet arrangements during the reporting or comparative period.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Nemmoco Petroleum Corporation ("Nemmoco") is a private company of which 37.5% is owned by Timothy M. Elliott, a former officer and director of the Company. Nemmoco provided certain personnel, general, accounting and administrative services to the Company at its offices in Dubai on a cost basis. With the changes to senior executive effective August 31, 2016, the contract with Nemmoco was terminated and the Company no longer has a presence in Dubai, therefore Nemmoco ceased to be a related party on September 1, 2016. For the year ended December 31, 2016, the fees totaled \$0.6 million (2015: \$0.7 million).

Loon Energy Corporation ("Loon Energy") is a publicly traded Canadian corporation. Serinus and Loon Energy are related as they have the same principal shareholder with significant influence over both companies. Management and administrative services were provided by the management and staff of Serinus until August 31, 2016 when the services agreement was terminated and an office lease rental agreement was entered into which was then terminated effective February 15, 2017. For

the year ended December 31, 2016, these fees totalled \$9 thousand (2015: \$9 thousand). As at December 31, 2016, Loon Energy owes \$nil (December 31, 2015: \$nil) to Serinus for these services.

All related party transactions were at exchange amounts agreed to by both parties.

2017 OUTLOOK

The Company is focusing on Romania as the impetus for growth over the next three years. The Moftinu gas development project is a near-term project that is expected to begin producing from the gas discovery wells Moftinu-1001 and Moftinu-1000 in early 2018. The Company has obtained all necessary approvals for, and will soon commence construction of a gas plant with 15 MMcf/d of operational capacity. Construction of the project will proceed over 2017.

The Company is also developing the drilling program to meet work commitments for the extension and plans to drill three additional development wells (Moftinu-1003, 1004, and 1005) in 2018. The Corporation sees potential production from these wells being able to bring the gas plant to full capacity in late 2018.

In Tunisia, the Company will focus on carrying out low cost incremental work programs to increase production from existing wells, including the Sabria N-2 re-entry and installing artificial lift on another Sabria well. The Corporation views Sabria as a large development opportunity longer term.

The Company views the level of activity pursued in Tunisia as dependent on the following thresholds being achieved and maintained. In terms of oil prices, incremental vertical wells become economic at Brent oil prices of ~\$45/bbl, with potential multi-leg horizontal wells lowering the threshold to below \$30/bbl in Sabria. The current capacity of surface facilities would only allow for 1-3 incremental wells for each of Sabria and Chouech Es Saida/Ech Chouech. As well for Chouech Es Saida/Ech Chouech, the STEG El Borma gas plant is nearly at its effective capacity. Further gas developments from this concession may have to be delayed until the completion of the Nawara Pipeline for material gas pipeline capacity to come online.

The Company’s production has been significantly curtailed in the first quarter of 2017 as a result of the shut-in of the Chouech Es Saida field in Tunisia, which is expected to continue for the duration of the first quarter. Assuming the continued shut-in, production is projected to be approximately 650 boe/d for the first quarter of 2017. Full year production for 2017 is dependent on the successful resolution of the sit-in at the Chouech Es Saida field and associated security and safety issues, as well as the timing of the above capital program in Sabria.

DIVIDENDS

To date, the Company has not paid dividends and does not anticipate paying dividends in the foreseeable future. Should the Company decide to pay dividends in the future the Company would be required to satisfy certain liquidity tests as established in the Alberta Business Corporations Act.

SELECTED ANNUAL INFORMATION

The following table sets out selected annual information extracted from the audited consolidated financial statements.

	As at December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Total assets	\$ 104,836	\$ 185,187	\$ 259,467
Total long term liabilities	51,883	54,832	97,447

Serinus Energy Inc. Annual 2016 MD&A
(Thousands of US dollars, except as noted)

	For the years ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Sales revenue, net of royalties (a)	\$ 13,975	\$ 22,986	\$ 39,065
Net loss from continuing operations attributable to:			
Common shareholders	\$ (27,521)	\$ (52,150)	\$ (45,763)
Net loss per share			
Basic and diluted	\$ (0.35)	\$ (0.66)	\$ (0.58)
Total net loss attributable to:			
Common shareholders	\$ (58,899)	\$ (49,104)	\$ (30,501)
Non-controlling interest	721	1,306	6,540
Net loss per share			
Basic and diluted	\$ (0.75)	\$ (0.62)	\$ (0.39)
Weighted average number of shares	78,629,941	78,629,941	78,627,711

(a) Amounts have been presented net as a result of the reclassification of Ukraine to discontinued operations, see note 6 to the December 31, 2016 Audited Consolidated Annual Financial Statements.

Total assets

Total assets as at December 31, 2016 were \$104.8 million compared to \$185.2 million as at December 31, 2015. The decrease is due to the sale of assets held in the Ukraine in February 2016 and \$16.8 million of impairment on Tunisian property, plant and equipment as at December 31, 2016.

Total long term liabilities

Total long term liabilities as at December 31, 2016 were \$51.9 million compared to \$54.8 million as at December 31, 2015. The change is primarily due to accretion of decommissioning liabilities and movement in deferred tax liabilities.

SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS

The following table sets forth summarized quarterly financial information for the most recent eight financial quarters:

	Restated (a)							
	Q4 2016	Q3 2016	Q2 2016	Q1 2016	Q4 2015	Q3 2015	Q2 2015	Q1 2015
Oil and gas revenue	\$ 4,456	\$ 3,632	\$ 4,080	\$ 3,779	\$ 4,794	\$ 6,237	\$ 6,816	\$ 8,128
Continuing operations loss attributable to:								
Common shareholders	\$(14,420)	\$ (4,971)	\$ (3,994)	\$ (4,137)	\$ (14,291)	\$ (32,092)	\$ (1,133)	\$ (4,606)
Loss per share - basic and diluted	\$ (0.19)	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.41)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.06)
Total income/(loss) attributable to:								
Common shareholders	\$(14,419)	\$ (4,971)	\$ (3,994)	\$ (35,515)	\$ (14,604)	\$ (30,281)	\$ 49	\$ (4,268)
Non-controlling interest	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 721	\$ (116)	\$ 777	\$ 500	\$ 145
Loss per share - basic and diluted	\$ (0.19)	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.45)	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.39)	\$ -	\$ (0.05)

(a) Amounts have been restated as a result of the reclassification of Ukraine to discontinued operations, see note 6 to the December 31, 2016 Audited Consolidated Annual Financial Statements.

- In Q1 2015, total loss was impacted by lower commodity prices offset partially by increased production in Tunisia.
- In Q2 2015, total loss was impacted by lower commodity prices and decreased production in Tunisia due to the Sabria field being shut-in at the end of May due to protests.
- In Q3 2015, total income was impacted by increased production and lower commodity prices in Tunisia. In addition, total income was negatively impacted by an impairment charge of \$44.3 million related to Tunisia and the related deferred tax impact.
- In Q4 2015, total income was impacted by lower production and commodity prices in Tunisia. In addition, total income was negatively impacted by an impairment charge of \$7.1 million for Tunisia.
- In Q1 2016, revenues were impacted by lower production and commodity prices in Tunisia. In addition, total income was negatively impacted by the loss on the sale of Ukraine operations.
- In Q2 2016, total income was impacted by low commodity prices in Tunisia.
- In Q3 2016, total income was impacted by low commodity prices in Tunisia and an increase in G&A due to one-time senior executives termination payments incurred in the quarter.

In Q4 2016, total income was impacted by recovering commodity prices in Tunisia and decreased corporate G&A, offset by a decrease in production. In addition, total income was negatively impacted by an impairment charge of \$16.8 million for Tunisia.

RISK FACTORS

Serinus takes a proactive approach to identifying inherent risks to its business and operations through the consistent identification of risks in day to day operations enabling the appropriate decision making. Below is a list of what Serinus has identified as its principal risks. A principal risk is an exposure that has the potential to materially impact the ability of Serinus to meet objectives. Some risks are common to operations in the oil and gas industry, while others are specific to Serinus and its operations in emerging markets. The risks below are not meant to be an exhaustive or a static list, nor should they be taken as a complete summary of all the risks associated with Serinus' business. If any of these risks or other risks occur, the business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be adversely affected in a material way.

Commodity Price Risk

Serinus' financial performance is impacted by prices obtained for crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids. The prices of all of these commodities are influenced by global and regional supply and demand which can result in price volatility. Prices are also affected by factors such as economic growth, transportation constraints, political developments, decisions made by the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) members and weather. These dynamics can affect different types of products differently.

Specifically, Serinus is exposed to risks due to fluctuations in the market price of Brent crude oil. In Tunisia, oil prices are based on the terms of the Shell contract which reflect the market price of Brent crude oil. Natural gas prices in Tunisia are nationally regulated and are tied to the twelve month trailing average of low sulphur heating oil (benchmarked to Brent). The Company has no commodity hedge program in place which could potentially mitigate the price risk.

Given recent global economic conditions, there has been volatility and continued uncertainty is expected in prices in the near term. A prolonged period of low prices could affect, and is affecting, the value of assets and the level of capital expenditure, thus having a material adverse effect on Serinus and its operations.

Financial Risks

Financial risks include foreign currency exchange risk, interest rate risk, credit risk, and liquidity risk.

Foreign currency exchange risk

The Company is exposed to risks arising from fluctuations in currency exchange rates between the Canadian dollar, Polish zloty, Romanian leu, Tunisian dinar, the Euro and the United States dollar. At December 31, 2016 the Company's primary currency exposure related to Canadian dollar ("CAD"), Tunisian dinar ("TD"), and Romanian leu ("LEU") balances. The following table summarizes the Company's foreign currency exchange risk for each of the currencies indicated:

	December 31, 2016		
	CAD	TD	LEU
Cash and cash equivalents	113	1,505	58
Accounts receivable	136	1,497	801
Income tax receivable	-	5,959	3
Prepaid expenses	(92)	410	93
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(153)	(6,004)	(508)
Net foreign exchange exposure	<u>\$ 4</u>	<u>\$ 3,367</u>	<u>\$ 447</u>
US \$ equivalent at period end exchange rate	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$ 1,458</u>	<u>\$ 104</u>

Based on the net foreign exchange exposure at the end of the period, if these currencies had strengthened or weakened by 10% compared to the U.S. dollar and all other variables were held constant, the after tax net earnings would have decreased or increased by approximately the following amounts:

	As at Dec 31 2016	As at December 31 2015
Canadian dollar	\$ -	\$ (6)
Tunisian dinar	146	174
Romanian leu	10	5
Total	<u>\$ 156</u>	<u>\$ 173</u>

Interest rate risk

The primary exposure to interest rate risk is related to Serinus' debt. During the first quarter, the Ukraine debt and Romanian debt were fully repaid, resulting in the Tunisia debt being the only debt outstanding. The Company had locked in the interest rate on the \$20.0 million Senior Loan at a rate of 6.9% for a two-year period from September 30, 2014 to September 30, 2016 at which time it reverted back to LIBOR plus 6%. The convertible loan is based on LIBOR and has a portion based on incremental revenue with a floor of 8% and ceiling of 17%.

A 1% change in the LIBOR, assuming the amount of debt remains unchanged, would affect the senior loan interest expense by \$18 thousand in 2016 (2015 - \$nil), and the convertible loan by \$232 thousand (2015 - \$207 thousand).

Credit risk

The Company's cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash are held with major financial institutions. Management monitors credit risk by reviewing the credit quality of the financial institutions that hold the cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash.

The Company's accounts receivable consist of receivables from other joint venture partners that are anticipated to be applied against future capital expenditures, receivables for revenue in Tunisia, commodity taxes recoverable from the federal government of Canada and interest earned on restricted cash deposits, for which credit risk is assessed as being low as the funds are on deposit with major financial institutions.

Management believes that the Company's exposure to Tunisian credit risk is manageable, as commodities sold are under contract or payment within 30 days. Oil is sold with reputable parties and collection is prompt based on the individual terms with the parties. At December 31, 2016, the Company had \$nil (December 31, 2015- \$nil) of receivables that were considered past due (over 90 days outstanding). The majority of these amounts are due from large well established customers and management believes the balances will be collected. For the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company has four customers from continuing operations with sales representing 51%, 19%, 22% and 8% of total sales (2015 – six customers representing 40%, 24%, 12%, 12%, 6%, 6%).

Management has no formal credit policy in place for customers and the exposure to credit risk is approved and monitored on an ongoing basis individually for all significant customers. The maximum exposure to credit risk is represented by the carrying amount of each financial asset in the statement of financial position. The Company does not require collateral in respect of financial assets.

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that Serinus will not be able to pay financial obligations when due. There are inherent liquidity risks, including the possibility that additional financing may not be available to the Company, or that actual capital expenditures may exceed those planned. The Company mitigates this risk through monitoring its liquidity position regularly to assess whether it has the resources necessary to fund planned commitments on its petroleum and natural gas properties or that viable options are available to fund such commitments. Alternatives available to the Company to manage its liquidity risk include deferring planned capital expenditures that exceed amounts required to retain concession licences, farm-out arrangements and securing new equity or debt capital. Refer to liquidity, debt, and capital resources section.

At December 31, 2016, the Company was not in compliance with the annual consolidated financial debt to EBITDA covenant on its debt held with the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development ("EBRD"). Subsequently, EBRD has formally waived compliance with this ratio for the year ended December 31, 2016. The implication of this waiver is that debt repayments will follow their original scheduled repayment terms and the bank will not be acting on its security. However,

given the covenant was breached as at December 31, 2016, Serinus has reclassified its long-term debt to current in the financial statements, as required under accounting standards. In Q4 2016, the Company financed cash outflows including working capital and capital expenditures from cash generated from Tunisian operations and cash on deposit.

Internally prepared forecasts indicate that the Company is likely to continue to breach certain of its financial covenants in future reporting periods during 2017, due to continuing low commodity prices. Although the EBRD has previously provided waivers for covenant breaches, there is no certainty this will occur in the future. If these covenants are not met, the debt may therefore become payable on demand. This material uncertainty may cast significant doubt with respect to the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern. The Company is actively evaluating its options at this time, including discussions with the EBRD related to amending the banking facility and its related covenants.

Operational, Environmental and Safety Risks

Serinus' operations require significant investment in both the exploration and evaluation and operation and maintenance of facilities. Associated are the risks relating to environmental and safety. Keeping employees and worksites safe and secure and preserving and protecting the environment, is of paramount importance. Operational hazards include fires, explosions, blow-outs, power outages, severe weather conditions and the release of harmful substances such as oil spills, gas leaks. Any of these hazards can interrupt operations, cause injury or death, damage property, equipment or/and the environment. Losses resulting from the occurrence of any of these risks could have a material adverse effect on operations.

To mitigate these risks, the Company evaluates projects for financial, geological and engineering risk and mitigation plans are developed, including a comprehensive insurance program. There is the risk that insurance may not provide adequate coverage in all circumstances, nor are all risks insurable.

Project risk

There are risks associated with exploration, evaluation and execution of oil and gas projects.

Risks in exploration include failure to acquire or find additional reserves which will, at a minimum, result in erosion of the Company's existing reserves as these reserves are depleted through ongoing production, and may negatively impact the Company's ability to grow its asset base in the future. There is no assurance that Serinus will be able to find suitable properties to acquire or participate in the future. Serinus uses proactive project planning on existing licences and performs extensive business development dedicated to identifying and pursuing potential opportunities. Further, all investment opportunities are reviewed using careful consideration and technical analysis.

Risks in the evaluation of future oil and natural gas properties may involve unprofitable efforts from dry wells as well as from wells that are productive but do not produce sufficient production to return a profit after drilling, completing, operating and other costs. Completion of a well does not assure a profit on the investment or recovery of costs spent. To mitigate this, Serinus uses reputable industry specialists and monitors field performance on a daily basis

Risks involved in the execution of projects relate primarily to engineering and a failure in the specification, design or technology of a project; the construction and a failure in the ability to build the project in the time and cost budgeted; and lastly the commissioning and start up failure of the facility to meet performance targets. To mitigate these risks, Serinus estimates costs and an expectation for all projects and at each stage evaluates the project to ensure financial viability. There are numerous factors beyond our control such as commodity prices, weather, availability of equipment, unexpected cost increases, accidental events, regulatory changes which could have a negative impact on Serinus ability to execute projects on time and budget.

The oil and natural gas industry in emerging markets where Serinus operates is not as developed as the oil and natural gas industry in developed nations such as Canada. As a result, drilling and development operations may take longer to complete and may cost more than similar operations in a developed nation. As well, the availability of technical expertise, specific equipment and supplies may be more limited. Such factors subject operations in emerging markets to unique risks not experienced by others.

Partners and Joint Ventures

The Company has and will in the future, benefit from partnerships or joint ventures with local and international companies through which exploration, development, and operating activities for particular assets are conducted. Benefits include the ability to source and secure new opportunities, capitalizing on the local partner's market knowledge and relationships (in particular in countries or regions where the Company has no or limited prior operations), mitigation of some of the financial risk inherent in the exploration and development of oil and gas assets through farm-out and similar arrangements, and the alignment of interests. A deterioration in relationships or disagreements with existing partners, a failure to identify suitable partners, or a change in circumstances relating to a partner may have an adverse impact on its existing operations or affect its ability to grow its business.

Political and Economic Risks

Serinus operates in emerging markets that are subject to political and economic risks. Political stability and the uncertainty regarding political decisions may result in: the possibility of war/revolution, border disputes, expropriation, renegotiation or modification of existing contracts, import, export and transportation restrictions, change in regulations and tariffs, tax increases, loss of subsidy, change of market policy and laws regarding resource extraction. As a result of political instability, economic challenges that may ensue include slow growth, high inflation and unfavorable fluctuations in exchange rates.

Regulatory Risks

Serinus is subject to a range of laws and regulations imposed by a number of and various levels of government and regulatory bodies in the jurisdictions in which it operates. The Company believes it fully complies with or exceeds all government laws, regulations and industry standards in its countries of operation; however, these regulations are subject to intervention by governments that can affect future exploration, production and abandonment of fields and licenses. Rights and licenses can be cancelled, may expire or be expropriated and regulations can change. Certain licenses have restrictions which may not be removed on a timely basis. Due to the nature of emerging markets and changing regulations, regulatory changes can have a material adverse effect on operations in a way beyond what we can forecast.

LITIGATION

Neither the Company nor any of its subsidiaries are involved in any proceedings before a court, relevant arbitration body or public administrative authority concerning payables or debt of the Company or its subsidiaries whose value, individually or in aggregate, would be equal to or greater than 10% of the Company's equity.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires management to make judgements, estimates and assumptions based on currently available information that affect the application of accounting policies and the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, income and expenses. Estimates and judgements are evaluated and are based on management's experience and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. However actual results could differ from these estimates. By their very nature, these estimates are subject to measurement uncertainty and the effect on the financial statements of future periods could be material. Estimates and underlying assumptions are reviewed on an ongoing basis. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognized in the period in which the estimates are revised and in any future periods affected.

Significant estimates and judgments made by management in the consolidated financial statements are described below:

(i) **Oil and gas reserves**

Measurements of depletion, depreciation, impairment, ARO and business acquisitions are determined in part based on the company's estimate of oil and gas reserves and resources. The process of determining reserves is complex and involves the exercise of professional judgement. All reserves have been evaluated at December 31, 2016 by independent qualified reserves evaluators. All significant judgments are based on available geological, geophysical, engineering, and economic data. These judgments are based on estimates and assumptions that may change substantially as additional data from ongoing development activities and production performance becomes available

and as economic conditions impacting oil and gas prices and costs change. The reserve estimates are based on current production forecasts, prices and economic conditions. As circumstances change and additional data becomes available, reserve estimates also change. Estimates made are reviewed and revised, either upward or downward, as warranted by the new information. Revisions are often required due to changes in well performance, prices and economic conditions. Although every reasonable effort is made to ensure that reserve estimates are accurate, reserve estimation is an inferential science. As a result, subjective decisions, new geological or production information and a changing environment may impact these estimates. Revisions to reserve estimates can arise from changes in year-end oil and gas prices and reservoir performance. Such revisions could be material and result in either positive or negative amounts.

The cash flow model used to value oil and gas properties incorporates estimates of future commodity prices. Generally, the pricing assumptions used are those of the external reserve engineer adjusted for differentials specific to the Company. Commodity prices can fluctuate for a variety of external reasons including supply and demand fundamentals, inventory levels, exchange rates, weather, and economic and geopolitical factors as well as internal reasons including quality differentials.

(ii) Oil and gas activities

The Company is required to apply judgment when designating the nature of oil and gas activities as exploration, evaluation, development or production, and when determining whether the initial costs of these activities are capitalized and reclassified. The Company is required to make judgments about future events and circumstances and applies estimates to assess the economic viability of extracting the underlying resources.

(iii) Cash generating units

The determination of CGUs requires judgment in defining a group of assets that generate cash inflows that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets. CGUs are determined by similar geological structure, shared infrastructure, geographical proximity, commodity type, similar exposure to market risks and materiality.

(iv) Impairment and reversals

Judgment in assessing the existence of impairment and impairment reversal indicators is based on various internal and external factors. The recoverable amount of CGUs and individual assets is determined on the higher of fair value less cost of disposal or value in use. Key estimates in determining the recoverable amount normally include proved and probable reserves, forecasted commodity prices, expected production, future operating and development costs, discount rates and tax rates. In determining the recoverable amount, management may also need to make assumptions regarding the likelihood of an event. Changes to these estimates and judgements will impact the recoverable amounts of CGUs and individual assets and may require a material adjustment to their carrying value.

(v) Asset retirement obligation

The Company recognizes liabilities for the future decommissioning and restoration of exploration and evaluation assets and property, plant and equipment. Management applies judgment in assessing the existence and extent as well as the expected method of reclamation of the Company's decommissioning and restoration obligations at the end of each reporting period. Management also uses judgment to determine whether the nature of the activities performed is related to decommissioning and restoration activities or normal operating activities. In addition, these provisions are based on estimated costs, which take into account the anticipated method and extent of restoration and the possible future use of the site. Actual costs are uncertain and estimates can vary as a result of changes to relevant laws and regulations, the emergence of new technology, operating experience, prices and closure plans. The estimated timing of future decommissioning and restoration may change due to certain factors, including reserve life. Changes to estimates related to future expected costs, discount rates and timing could result in a significant adjustment to the provisions established which would affect future financial results.

(vi) Deferred taxes

Estimates and assumptions are used in the calculation of deferred taxes. Judgments include assessing whether tax assets can be recognized is based on expectations of future cash flows from operations and the application of existing tax laws. To the extent that future cash flows and taxable income differ significantly from estimates, the ability of the Company to realize the deferred tax assets and liabilities recorded at the balance sheet date could be impacted by a material amount. Additionally, changes in tax laws could limit the ability of the Company to obtain tax deductions in the future.

The determination of the Company's income and other tax liabilities requires interpretation of complex laws and regulations often involving multiple jurisdictions. Estimates that require significant judgments are also made with respect to the timing of temporary difference reversals, the realizability of tax assets and in circumstances where the transaction and calculations for which the ultimate tax determination are uncertain. All tax filings are subject to audit and potential reassessment after the lapse of considerable time. Accordingly, the actual income tax liability may differ significantly from that estimated and recorded by management.

(vii) Stock based compensation

Stock options issued by the Company are recorded at fair value using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The calculation of share-based payment expense requires estimates which involve assumptions about the share price volatility, forfeiture rates, option life, dividend yield and risk-free rate at the initial grant date. Changes to these estimates impact the stock based compensation expense and contributed surplus and may have a material impact on the amounts presented.

FUTURE CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES

For the year ended December 31, 2016, Serinus adopted the IASB issued amendments to IAS 1, "Presentation of Financial Statements". The amendments had minimal impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Serinus has not yet adopted certain standards and interpretations that have been issued but are not yet effective. Below is a brief description of IFRS standards and amendments that are not yet effective and have not been applied in the preparation of these financial statements. There are no other standards or interpretations issued, but not yet adopted, that are anticipated to have a material impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

In May 2014, the IASB issued IFRS 15 Revenue from Contracts with Customers, which replaces IAS 11 Construction Contracts, IAS 18 Revenue, and related interpretations. The new standard requires revenue to be recognized upon the transfer of goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration expected to be received in exchange for those goods or services. The standard requires consideration of the following five steps: (1) identify the contract, (2) identify the performance obligations of the contract, (3) determine the transaction price, (4) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations; and (5) recognize revenue when the entity fulfills a performance obligation. The new standard is to be applied either retrospectively or on a modified retrospective basis and is effective for the annual period commencing September 1, 2018. The Company has identified all existing customer contracts that are within the scope of the new guidance and has begun to analyze individual contracts to identify the impact on revenues as a result of implementing the new standard. As the Company is currently evaluating the impact of this standard, it has not yet determined the effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In July 2014, the IASB issued the complete IFRS 9 Financial Instruments to replace IAS 39 Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement. The new standard clarifies the requirements for the classification and measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities, including an expected credit loss model for calculating impairment, and updated hedge accounting. The standard is required to be applied retrospectively for the annual period commencing September 1, 2018. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this standard, it has not yet determined the effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In January 2016, the IASB issued IFRS 16 Leases which replaces the existing leasing standard (IAS 17 Leases). The new standard requires entities to recognize lease assets and lease obligations on the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position. For lessees, IFRS 16 removes the classification of leases as either operating leases or finance leases, effectively treating all leases as finance leases. Certain short-term leases (less than 12 months) and leases of low-value are exempt from the requirements and may continue to be treated as operating leases. The standard may be applied retroactively or using a modified retrospective approach for annual periods commencing January 1, 2019, with early adoption permitted if IFRS 15 Revenue from Contracts with Customers has been adopted. The Company is evaluating existing lease agreements that are within the scope of the new guidance and has begun to analyze the impact of this standard. As the Company is currently evaluating the impact of this standard, it has not yet determined the effect on its consolidated financial statements.

DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES AND INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The preparation of this MD&A is supported by a set of disclosure controls and procedures ("DC&P") and internal controls over financial reporting ("ICFR") as at December 31, 2016.

Disclosure controls and procedures as defined in National Instrument 52-109 means controls and other procedures of an issuer that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in its annual filings, interim filings or other reports filed or submitted by it under securities legislation is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the securities legislation and include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in its annual filings, interim filings or other reports filed or submitted under securities legislation is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its certifying officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure;

Internal control over financial reporting means a process designed by, or under the supervision of, an issuer's certifying officers, and effected by the issuer's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with the issuer's Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") and includes those policies and procedures that:

- (a) Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the issuer;
- (b) are designed to provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with the issuer's GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures of the issuer are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the issuer; and
- (c) Are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the issuer's assets that could have a material effect on the annual financial statements or interim financial statements.

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company have designed DC&P and ICFR, or caused them to be designed under their supervision, to provide reasonable assurance that all material information required to be disclosed by Serinus in its annual filings and interim filings are recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in applicable securities legislation, and to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes, in accordance with IFRS. The ICFR is based on criteria established in "Internal Control – Integrated Framework" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") in 2013.

The board of directors, through its Audit Committee, is responsible for ensuring that management fulfils its responsibilities for financial reporting and internal control. The Audit Committee meets at least annually with the Company's external auditors to review accounting, internal control, financial reporting, and audit matters.

There have been no material changes to the Company's internal controls over financial reporting since December 31, 2015 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal controls over financial reporting. Under the supervision of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Serinus conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of its DC&P and ICFR as at December 31, 2016. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that the DC&P and ICFR were effective as of December 31, 2016.

NON-IFRS MEASURES

The financial information presented in this MD&A has been prepared in accordance with IFRS except for the terms such as "funds from operations", "netback", "working capital" and certain terms under the loan covenants which are not recognized measures under IFRS and do not have standardized meanings prescribed by IFRS. These non-IFRS measures are presented for information purposes only and should not be considered an alternative to, or more meaningful than information presented in accordance with IFRS. Management believes these may be useful supplemental measures as they are used by the Company to measure operating performance and to evaluate the timing and amount of capital required to fund future operations. The Company's method of calculating these measures may differ from those of other companies and, accordingly, they may not be comparable to measures used by other companies.

Serinus calculates "funds from operations", "netback" and "working capital" as applicable to its most closely related IFRS measure.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This MD&A contains forward-looking statements. These statements relate to future events or future performance of the Company. When used in this MD&A, the words "may", "would", "could", "will", "intend", "plan", "anticipate", "believe", "estimate", "predict", "seek", "propose", "expect", "potential", "continue", and similar expressions, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause actual results or events to differ materially from those anticipated in such forward-looking statements. Such statements reflect the Company's current views with respect to certain events, and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Many factors could cause the Company's actual results, performance, or achievements to vary from those described in this MD&A. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should assumptions underlying forward-looking statements prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those described in this MD&A as intended, planned, anticipated, believed, estimated, or expected.

Specific forward-looking statements in this MD&A, among others, include statements pertaining to the following:

- factors upon which the Company will decide whether or not to undertake a specific course of action;
- world-wide supply and demand for petroleum products;
- expectations regarding the Company's ability to raise capital;
- treatment under governmental regulatory regimes; and
- Commodity prices.

With respect to forward-looking statements in this MD&A, the Company has made assumptions, regarding, among other things:

- the impact of increasing competition;
- the ability of partners to satisfy their obligations;
- the Company's ability to obtain additional financing on satisfactory terms; and
- the Company's ability to attract and retain qualified personnel.

The Company's actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of the risk factors set forth below and elsewhere in this MD&A:

- general economic conditions;
- volatility in global market prices for oil and natural gas;
- competition;
- liabilities and risks, including environmental liability and risks, inherent in oil and gas operations;
- the availability of capital;
- geopolitical volatility in the countries of operations; and
- alternatives to and changing demand for petroleum products.

Furthermore, statements relating to "reserves" or "resources" are deemed to be forward-looking statements, as they involve the implied assessment, based on certain estimates and assumptions, that the resources and reserves described can be profitable in the future.

The forward-looking statements contained in this MD&A are expressly qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement. These statements speak only as of the date of this MD&A.

ABBREVIATIONS

The following is a list of abbreviations that may be used in this MD&A:

bbl	Barrel(s)	bbl/d	Barrels per day
boe	Barrels of Oil Equivalent	boe/d	Barrels of Oil Equivalent per day
Mcf	Thousand Cubic Feet	Mcf/d	Thousand Cubic Feet per day
MMcf	Million Cubic Feet	MMcf/d	Million Cubic Feet per day
Mcfe	Thousand Cubic Feet Equivalent	Mcfe/d	Thousand Cubic Feet Equivalent per day
MMcfe	Million Cubic Feet Equivalent	MMcfe/d	Million Cubic Feet Equivalent per day
Mboe	Thousand boe	Bcf	Billion Cubic Feet
MMboe	Million boe	Mcm	Thousand Cubic Metres
UAH	Ukrainian Hryvnia	USD	U.S. Dollar
CAD	Canadian Dollar	\$M	Thousands of Dollars
\$MM	Millions of Dollars		

MEASUREMENT CONVERSIONS

Certain crude oil and natural gas liquids volumes have been converted to Mcfe or MMcfe on the basis of one bbl to six Mcf. Also, certain natural gas volumes have been converted to boe or Mboe on the same basis. Any figure presented in Mcfe, MMcfe, boe or Mboe may be misleading, particularly if used in isolation. A conversion ratio of one bbl of crude oil or natural gas liquids to six Mcf of natural gas is based on an energy equivalency conversion method primarily applicable at the burner tip and does not necessarily represent value equivalency at the wellhead.

INVESTOR INFORMATION

Additional information regarding Serinus and its business and operations is available at www.sedar.com. Information is also accessible on the Company's website at www.serinusenergy.com.

We welcome questions from interested parties. Contact should be directed to Serinus' head office via address: 1500, 700 – 4th Avenue S.W., Calgary, Alberta T2P 3J4, phone: +1 403 264-8877 or e-mail: info@serinusenergy.com.